विहार विधान सभा वादवृत्त।

मंगलवार, तिथि २७ फरवरी, १९५१।

भारत के संविधान के उपबन्ध के अनुसार एकत्र विधान सभा का कार्य-विधरण सभा का अधिव रान पटने के सभा वेश्म में मंगलवार, तिथि २७ फरवरी, १९५१ की पूर्वाहुन ११ बजे माननीय अध्यक्ष श्री विन्ध्येश्वरी प्रसाद वर्मा के सभापतित्व में हुआ।

तारांकित प्रश्नोत्तर।

Starred questions and answers.

बो॰ टी॰ रेलवे के गोरौल और कुरहनी स्टेशन के बीच में लेखेलकीसिंग नं १९॥

A*३७७। श्री शिवनन्दन राम—क्या माननीय मंत्री, सार्वजनिक निर्माण विकास, यह
बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि—.

- (क) सरकार ने गत रांची अधिवेशन में तारांकित प्रश्न संख्या ३०६ जो कुरहनी बो० टी० रेलवे के गोरील और कुरहनी स्टेशन नं० १९ गेट के बारे में था उसके उत्तर में सरकार ने कहा या कि पूरव और १९ नं० का लेवेलकौसिंग केवल ६३ फीट तक के ढाल का है तो क्या सरकार बतायेगी कि उसकी ऊँचाई साधारण संडक की सतह से कितनी ह;
- (ख) नया यह बात सही है कि ऊँचाई के कारण बैलगाड़ियों तथा अन्य सवारियों को काफी दिक्कत उठानी पड़ती है;
- (ग) क्या यह बात सही है कि निरंतर बैलगाड़ियों के चलने से रेलवे बहाते के भीतर को दक्षिण दिशा में जाने वाली सड़क पर गाड़ी के पहिएं की लीक काणी गहराई तक बन गई है जिससे पैदल चलने वालों और साइकिल वालों को दिक्कत होती हैं:
- (घ) क्या यह बात सही है कि रेलवे अहाते में एक छोटा सा पुल है जिसकी हालत बड़ी खराब है और जिस पर मिट्टी देने की बड़ी जरूरत हैं;
- (अ) यदि खंड (क), (ख), (ग) और (घ) के उत्तर स्वीकारात्मक है तो क्या सरकार रेलवे अहाते के मीतर सड़क को मजबूत और ऊँचा करने की व्यवस्था करने के लिये कोई कार्रवाई करने का विचार करती है?

माननीय श्री अञ्चुल क्यूम संसारी—इस प्रश्न के सम्बन्ध में रेलवे अधिकारियों को इसलोगों ने कई बार लिखा, लेकिन अभी कोई उत्तर नहीं आया है।

श्री राम गुलाम चींधरी—क्या १९५२ तक उत्तर आयेगा या नहीं? मातनीय अध्यक्ष—शान्ति-शान्ति। यह कैसे कहा जा सकता है।

A-Postponed from the 21st February, 1951.

RECURRING GRANT-IN-AID TO H. E. SCHOOLS.

- *445. Shri HARINATH MISHRA: Will the Hon'ble the Educa-
- (a) whether it is a fact that the Government have adecided to give recurring grant-in-aid only to those High English Schools which had been recognised fully or partially on or before the 31st March 1949;
- (b) if the answer to clause (a) be in the affirmative, the reason
- (c) how many proposed High English Schools have been established, and recognised fully or partially during the period from the lat April 1949 to the 31st December 1950, and how many of them shave applied for grant-in aid and what is their total amount of deficit;
- (d) whether it is a fact that Government propose meeting the deficit amounts so far as these schools are concerned?

The Hon'ble Shri BADRINATH WERMA: (a) The answer is in the negative. It is only the grants in connection with the additional help for payment of the revised scale of pay but were restricted to schools which were recognised when the announcement was made.

- (b) The question does not arise.
- (c) About 120 High Schools have been established and recognic sed fully or partially during the period let April 1949 to the 31st December 1950. About 80 of them have so far applied for grant in aid and their total annual deficit will amount to about two laces
- (d) If the hon'ble member means by the deficit amount, the deficit due to the introduction of the revised scale of pay, then the answer is that Government have no such proposal under consideration.

As for general grant-in-aid, the matter receives consideration in normal course and grants are given, if funds are available.

Shri HARINATH MISHRA: Government have stated that so far as the deficit due to the revised scale of pay is concerned, a dead line was fixed and that was 31st March 1950. T want to know what was the principle in fixing this date?

The Hon ble Shri BADRINATH VERMA: I have already replied that all the schools that were in existence then and whose financial condition was not good enough to meet the additional expensed due to revision of salaries, Government was bound to help-them.

Shri HARINATH MISHRA: Sir, I want to know the basis on which Government decided to help particular schools?

The Hon'ble Shri BADRINATH VERMA: There are so many things involved in it.

Shri MAHESH PRASAD SINHA: Sir, I want to know whether the Government consulted the Board of Secondary Education regarding making any grant to such institutions which were in existence between the 1st April , 1949 to 31st December 1950?

The Hon'ble Shri BADRINATH VERMA: For a definite reply, fresh question is necessary.

Shri MAHESH PRASAD SINHA: Did Government received any recommendations for such institutions which were in existence before the 31st March, 1949 from the Board of Secondary Education?

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Obviously Government is not in a position to answer this question.

Shri MAHESH PRASAD SINHA: Sir, I want to know whether the grants were made on the recommendation of the members of the Board of Secondary Education?

The Hon'ble Shri BADRINATH VERMA: It was not necessary to consult the Board of Secondary Education because Government had before them all the papers and they could decide whether the particular schools should or should not get any grant.

Shri MAHESH PRASAD SINHA: Sir, the position is not clear. May I know whether the deficit of those institutions which Govern-May I know whether as a result of the recommendation of the Sacondary Board of Education?

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: Which Schools !

Shri MAHESH PRASAD SINHA': All High Schools which were in existence before 31st March 1949.

The Hon'ble Shri BADRINATH VERMA: Government decided to introduce a new scale of pay, and deficit if any will be paid by Government. As to actual amount which will be necessary in this regard it is for the Secondary Board of Education to decide.

Shri MAHESH PRASAD SINHA: We are very scrry that the Hon ble Minister for Education has not been able to clear the point. May I know whether the Secondary Board of Education was consul-

The Hon'ble Dr. ANUGRAH NARAYAN SINHA: It was not necessary to consult the Secondary Board of Education.

the SPEAKER: Government placed funds at their disposal.

Sari MAHESH PRASAD SINHA: May I know who supplied the material on which Government based its recommendation?

The Hon'ble Dr. ANUGRAH NARAYAN SINHA: Education Department have ample information. It is for this Department to decide in the matter.

Shri MAHESH PRASAD SINHA: Whether Education Department include Secondary Board of Education?

The Hon'ble Dr. ANUGRAH NARAYAN SINHA: Yes, it does so. All the materials were placed before the Government by the Education Department and they came to the conclusion as to what aid should be given to any particular institution.

GRANT-IN-AID TO H. E. SCHOOL, BASIARI (DISTRICT DARBHANGA).

- *446. Shri HARINATH MISHRA: Will the Hon'ble the Education Minister be pleased to state—
- (a) whether it is a fact that the authorities of proposed H.E. School, Rasiari (District Darbhanga) applied for grant-in-aid during July 1950;
- (b) if the answer to clause (a) be in the affirmative, the decision taken in the matter?

The Hon'ble Shri BADRINATH VERMA: (a) The reply is in the affirmative.

(b) The grant-in-aid of Rs. 300 per month is going to be sanctioned to this school by the Board of Secondary Education.

SCHEME FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF SANSKRIT COLLEGE, MUZAFFARPUR.

- *447. Shri HARINATH MISHRA: Will the Hon'ble the Education Minister be pleased to state—
- (a, whether it is a fact that during June, 1950, the Principal, Sanskrit College, Muzaffarpur, was informed that Government had decided to place the College under the supervision of the Director of Public Instruction;
 - (b) if the answer to clause (a) be in affirmative, the reason for it;
- (c) whether there is any scheme before Government for the development of the College; if so, will Government please lay a copy of it on the table;
- (d) the number of teachers (of different categories—subject-wise and grade-wise) in the said College and their grades;
- (e) whether Government propose to revise their scales of payand prospects, if not, why not?