THE BIHAR LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY DEBATES.

The 12th April, 1939.

Proceedings of the Bihar Logislative Assembly desembled under the provisions of the Government of India Act, 1935.

The Assembly met in the Assembly Chamber at Patna, on Wednesday the 12th April, 1939, at 11-30 A.M., the Hon'ble the Speaker, Mr. Ramdayalu Sinha, in the Chair.

STARRED QUESTION AND ANSWER.

STRIKE IN THE COTTON AND JUTE MILLS AT GAYA.

- *1. Mr. JAMUNA PRASHAD SINHAT: Will Government be pleased to state—
- (a) whether it is a fact that the strike in the Cotton and Jute Mills at Gaya commenced from the 31st December, 1938, in which there were lathi charges by the police;
- (b) the time when they came to know for the first time of the said strike and the lathi charges;
- (c) whether it is a fact that up to the 4th January, 1939, about 60 persons received injuries of whom some were admitted into the local hospital and the others were asked to go away after their injuries had been bandaged;
- (d) whether the policemen received any injuries; if so, how many and whether these injuries were medically examined;
- (e) whether it is a fact that the facts about the said strike and lathicharges were withheld from Government for a certain number of days and the Parliamentary Secretary was informed of the same on telephone for the first time on the 4th January, 1939, in the afternoon by a private person?

Mr. KRISHNA BALLARH SAHAY: (a) The strike in the Gaya Cotton Mills commenced on the 31st December, 1938.

In the absence of the questioner; the answer was given at the request of Mr. Jamuna Karjee.

18 L. A.

THE CHOTA NAGPUR TENUBE-HOLDLRS' RENT ACCOUNT (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1939 (BILL NO. 21 OF 1939).

The Hon'ble Mr. SHRI KRISHNA SINHA: Sir, I beg to introduce the Chota Nagpur Tenure-holders' Rent Account (Amendment) Bill, 1939.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The Bill is introduced.

The Han'ble Mr. SHRI KRISHNA SINHA: Sir, I beg to move:

That the Chota Nagpur Tenure-holders' Rent Account (Amendment) Bill, 1939, be taken into consideration.

Mr. KRISHNA BALLABH SAHAY: Sir, the Bill which has been introduced by Hon'ble the Prime Minister is intended to remove the difficulties of the co-sharer tenure-holder of Chota Nagpur. Their difficulties arise from the fact that the tenure-holders are jointly liable to pay rent. This position leads to two-fold results. One of the results is that the tenure-holders avoid paying rent, with the consequence that often the landlord suffers, as he does not get rent. The second result that arises from this position is that at times the landlord catches hold of even honest tenure-holders who have either paid rent or are willing to pay rent, with the result that a premium is put on dishonesty and honesty is penalized.

Now, Sir, this inequity was sought to be removed in the year 1929 when the Chota Nagpur Tenure-holders' Separate Rent Account Act was passed. But that Act suffered from two-fold defects. In the first place, in that Act the provision was that only those co-sharers and tenure-holders who held fractional share in all tenures would be entitled to get their rent separated. The second difficulty was that as the Act was intended to apply to permanent tenures only, in one of the cases that came up to the Commissioner of Chota Nagpur in review, the Commissioner held that the Act would not apply to tenures which are resumable. Now, Sir, in some of the districts of Chota Nagpur there are a large number of resumable tenures with the result that the Act proved ineffective.

When this Government came into office, we enquired from the Deputy Commissioner of Chota Nagpur and the Board of Revenue as to how this Act had worked and the information that was supplied to us tells its own tale and proves the necessity of bringing up the present Bill. It was found that in the course of almost 10 years that the Act had been in operation, only one application had been made in Manbhum, two applications for separation of rent account had been made in Singhbum, 115 applications had been made in Palamau, only 32 applications had been made in the district of Hazaribagh where the problem loomed large and 142 applications had been made in Ranchi. The Commissioner of the Chota Nagpur Division pointed out that so long as the two-fold defect which I have mentioned above remained in the Act, it would not be possible for the co-sharer tenure-holders to take advantage of the object of the Act, namely, that the accounts of the co-sharer tenure-holders should be separated. Therefore, Sir, when in the Legislative Council, Mr. Bishunlal Singh brought a Bill which sought to remove these two

defects, Government accepted his motion for reference of his Bill to a Select Committee. The Bill was referred to a Select Committee and there all the provisions of the Bill were examined very carefully and ertain necessary changes were also made, e.g.. it was settled in the Select Committee that in order to fix and determine the rent of a co-sharer tenure-holder, the cess valuation should be considered to be the basis. With these amendments, Sir, the Bill emerged from the Select Committee, but unfortunately for the Bill, the hon'ble member who was in charge of it could not be present on the day fixed and the result was that the Bill fell through. Now, Sir, since Government considered that the Bill brought in the Legislative Council by the hon'ble member was an important measure calculated to give relief to genuine sufferers, they have brought up this Bill. This Bill contains all the necessary amendments which were thought necessary. These amendments were based upon the result of the discussion in the Select Committee and upon the opinions received from Deputy Commissioners, the Commissioner of Chota Nagpur and the Board of Revenue. Therefore, at present, the Hon'ble the Prime Minister, instead of moving that the Bill should be referred to a Select Committee, has moved that the Bill should be taken into consideration and he will move later that the Bill should be passed. With these words Sir, I support the motion of the Hon'ble the Prime Minister.

Mr. BARALAL KANDARP NATH SHAH DEO*:

सभापति जी, सभी जी विल हाउस के सामने पेश हुन्ना है उसके संख्या में में कुछ कड देना चाइता हं; क्योंकि उससे हमतीगों की जुक विषेष साम नहीं होगा। इससे इमसोगों को ज्यादा हानि की उम्मीद है। षभी छमारे छोटा नागपुर में रेन्ट वस्त्व करने का जो तरीका है वह यह है कि रेन्ट सूट कमिक्षर के चार्डर से वसून फोना है। जब हमनोग उनके वडां दरण्वास्त करते हैं तो उनके बार्डर से सालगुजारी बलग २ कर दी जाती है, चेकिन अभी जो विच पेश किया गया है उससे छोटे २ जमीन्दारी की ज्यादा लाम नहीं होगा, इस विल के खायजेक्ट (Objects) खौर रोजन्स (Reasons) को पढ़ने से भी यही बात मालूम होती है।

इसार यशं बहुत से लमीन्दार हैं जो महाराजा के टेन्योर शेल्डर्स हैं और उन्हीं को अपना रेन्ट देते हैं। अब हमकोग चगर चाहें कि चपनो मालगुजारी अस्तम कराले या गवर्नमेन्ट को दें तो ऐसा नहीं कर बकते हैं। गवर्नमेन्ड को मासगुजारी सीधे महीं दे सकते हैं। इसलिये इस विल से कोटा नागपुर के छोटे वड़े जमीन्दारी में किसी को जुछ फायदा नहीं होगा।

चभी छोटा नागपुर में मालगुजारी के खिये टेन्योर होल्डर्स (tenure holders) के जपर नालिश होती है तो उसमें यह बखेड़ा चा जाता · Speech not corrected by the hon ble member.

CHOTA NAGPUR TENURE-HOLDERS' BILL.

🕯 कि कितने जमीन्दार भामिल हैं। माल एक साथ रहने की वजह से कंछ जमीम्टार जी दे चुके हैं उनका भी नीसाम होता है। ऐसी चालत में छोटा नागपर टिनेन्सी एक के अनुसार कामश्रर के पास दरख्वासादी जाती है और कमिश्रर उस दरख्वास्त के जरिये भाज नोखाम होने वाले जमीन्दार के चिस्से को चलग कर देता है चौर मालगुजारी वसूल किये चूये जमीन्हार क्षी समीन्दारी बच साती है।

यह बिल प्रोपाम में कल के लिये था, लेकिन यह आज 'ही पेश कर दिया। गया इस वजह से मैं तैयार हो कर नहीं चाया था। मुक्ते काफी बीचने का भीका नहीं मिला। मैं विरोध कुछ नहीं कह सकता हूं। गवर्नमेन्ट इस विल की पास करने के पहले एक बार फिर गीर से विचार कर है। जिस फायदे के क्षिपे आप यह बिख पास करना चाहते हैं वह फायदा नहीं होता है।

CHANDRESHVAR PRASHAD NARAYAN Is it possible for me, Sir, to move at this stage that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee? Will Government like the Bill to be referred to a Select Committee ?

The Hon'ble Mr. SHRI KRISHNA SINHA: My friend may give his reasons for referring the Bill to a Select Committee and Government will then consider whether they should raise any objection or not.

Mr. CHANDRESHVAR PRASHAD NARAYAN SINHA : I am obliged to the Bon'ble the Prime Minister, Sir. The few reasons I can state at the moment are that this Bill relates to the interest of tenureholders of Chota Nagpur and that it also affects the interest of the bigger landlords of Chota Nagpur under whom these tenure-holders hold their tenure. I have been told by the hon'ble Parliamentary Secretary that this Bill had originally come up before the Upper Chamber. Am I correct ?

Mr. BALDEVA SAHAY (Advocate-General): Yes.

Mr. CHANDRESHVAR PRASHAD NARAYAN SINHA : probably it was also referred to a Select Committee there.

Mr. BALDEVA SAHAY (Advocate-General) :

Mr. CHANDRÉSHVAR PRASHAD NARAYAN SINHA: it that it was examined by the Select Committee and the report was received but somehow the Bill could not be considered by the Upper Chamber I should be obliged if the hon'ble Mr. Krishna Ballabh Sahay. would let us know who were the members who attended the Solect Committee in the Upper Chamber. I take it, of course, that there were members from the various parties, but all the same I am not quite sure memoral if the interests of those under whom these course-holders

^{*}Speach not corrected by the hon'ble incinter.

hold their tenures were properly represented there. As such, if there is no such insurmountable difficulty and also if there is no special hurry about this legislation, I would like this Bill to be referred to a Select Committee of this House, consisting specially of Mr. Bara Lal Kandarp Nath Shah Deo of Chota Nagpur and the Maharaja Kumar of Chota Nagpur under whom, I am sure, there are a very larger number of tenureholders. It is necessary that a fair chance should be given to these interests to have their full say in the matter. We have not to-day many people to represent these interests in this House except the names that I have mentioned just now, and it is necessary, unless there is any hurry which I have not been told, that this Bill be referred to a Select Committee where these interests should find representation. obviously the reason why I want the Bill to go to a Select Committee.

Mr. KRISHNA BALLABH SAHAY: Sir, I can give the hon'ble the Leader of the Opposition the names of the members who composed the Select Committee. They were :-

- 1. Mr. Nageshwar Prasad Singh, M.L.C. (now dead),
- 2. Mr. Bansi Lal, M.L.C.,
 - 3. Mr. Brijraj Krishna, M.L.C.,
 - 4. Mr. Rishunlal Singh, M.L.C.,
 - 5. Mr. Brajuandan Prasad, M.L.C.,
 - 6. Mr. Saiyid Mobarak Ali, M.L.C.,
 - 7. Mr. Gur Sahay Lal, M.L.C.,
 - 8. Khan Bahadur Saiyid Muhammad Ismail, M.L.O.
 - 9. Rai Babadur Satis Chandra Sinha, 20 L.C.,
 - 10. Mr. Kamleshwari Prasad Mandal, L.L. 1, and
 - 11. Mr. Saiyid Naqi Imam, M.L.C.

Other persons were also invited to attend it and they were:-

- 1. Mr. Sukhlal Singh, M.L.A., from Hazaribagh,
- 2. Mr. Chlinttradhari Prasad, Pleader, Hazaribagh,
- 3. Rai Bahadur Thakur Bhola Nath Singh, from Daltonganj,
- 4. Rai Bahadur P. K. Banerjee, Ranchi,
- 5. Mr. Baldeva Sahay, and
- 6. Myself.

Mr. CHANDRESHVAR PRASHAD NARAYAN SINHA : S.r., the list of members of the Select Committee and a few of those who were specially invited confirms me in my belief that this Bill ought to go to the Select Committee. While Government certainly did take very great care in inviting quite a large number of people, and I know they are representative people who can speak about the conditions of Chota Nagpur, they missed to invite the Maharaja Kumar of Chota Nagpur. He is one person, in my opinion, who must have been called and consulted on a question of this kind. The second thing that I would

like to urge is that this Bill relates to Chota Nagpur, but the principles ordinarily, I think, at a later stage would also apply, at any rate, will be required to be applied, to Bihar proper too.

Sir. Government benches do not always appear to be very attentive to what is told to them.....

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The Hon'ble the Leader of the Opposition says that he is not being listened to by the Treasury benches. He is entitled to be so heard.

Mr. CHANDRESHVAR PRASHAD NARAYAN SINHA : everybody else was asked to be consulted, the Maharaja Kumar of Chota Nagour, who really represents the interests of tenure-holders who hold their tenures there, must have been given an opportunity to have his say on this Bill. I take it that neither he was consulted, nor anyhody who represents the interests of the Maharaja of Chota Nagpur or of bigger landlords of Chota Nagpur. I think it will not take a long time, it will take one day to fix the Select Committee including the Maharaj Kumar of Chota Nagpur as a member, after which the Select Committee can finish the Bill.

The Hon'ble Mr. SHRI KRISHNA SINHA: Sir, utmost care was taken to consult as many persons as possible and to know the real feeling of Chota Nagpur in the matter. Not only members from Chota Nagpur and the Legislative Council were on the Select Committee, but lawyers of repute who could be expected to know the laws regarding these tenure-holders in Chota Nagpur were there. The Government Pleader of Ranchi, who, I think, spoke on behalf of the Maharaja of Chota Nagpur, was there in the Select Committee. A gentleman was there to represent the interests of the Raja Sahib of Ramgarh and I think my impression is that the matter was thoroughly considered there. Nothing was sought to be done by mere force of vote. Evon after all this, if the hon'ble the Leader of the Opposition thinks that this Bill should be referred to a Select Committee, Government can possibly have no objection; because I do not want this impression to go round that I wanted to thrust the Bill on the House against its desire. If my friend thinks that there are principles in this Bill which require to be considered again in the House, I have no objection.

DAS GUPTA: Sir, I want to say Mr. UPENDRA MOHAN some thing about section 6 of the Act. I have been attempting to place this matter before Government for some time.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The question at prosent is whether the motion should be moved for reference of the Bill to a Select Commit-That is the point under consideration now. Has the bon'ble member got anything to say to that?

Mr. UPENDRA MOHAN DAS GUPTA: No, Sir.

Mr. SUKHLAL SINGH :

ं इज्र, सेलेफ् कमिटी के मृतल्लिक हमें कुछ कहना है।

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER:

आप कह सकते हैं।

Mr. SUKHLAL SINGH :

में गवनमेंट को चीर खास कर माननीय प्राइस मिनिस्टर साइव को इस बिल के लिये धन्यवाद देता हूं। इस चिल का शाना जरूरी था। याव् साणा-बन्नम सञ्चाय ने ऋहा है कि यह यिल खपरचेस्वर में यायू विशुनकाल सिंह, ं एम॰ एस॰ सी॰ को नाम पर खाया था लेकिन किसी वजह से वह खागे नहीं बढ़ सका। गवर्नमेंट मेचरवानी कर के यह बिच खायो है। अभी माननाय बाबू मो॰ पी॰ एन॰ सिन्हा ने सेचेकु कमिटी की जो बात कही है, मैं उसे नहीं चाइता हूं। वजह इसकी यह है कि वहुत दिन वीत रहे हैं। मानभूम, इजारीबाग चीर रांची के जागीरदार लोग इतने परेशान हो इंडे **हैं** कि वे चाहते हैं कि जल्दी यह विल पास हो जाय। गर्च में जुद इस बिल से पूरा संतुष्ट नहीं हैं फिर भी जिस हद तक यह आया।है उसरे बाद इसे सेखेक्ट कमिटी में ले जा जर समय लगाना मुनानिव नहीं मालूम होता है। अभी इतनी परेशानी है कि इस बिल के करिये जो कुछ रिखीफ मिलती है उममें चव बिलब्ब नहीं होना चाहिये। मैं यायू चन्द्रेश्वर पसाद नारायण सिंह से अनुरोध करूंगा कि कुछ दिनो सक इसके सुनाविक हिंदू नाम द्वोने दें और देख छैं कि इससे किसको क्या घाटा या नका है ? मेन हामगढ़ स्टेट के जमींदार बाब कामाख्या नारायण सिंह जी से बातें की यो। इस विन पर उनकी कीई भाषति नहीं है। जहां तक में सममता हूं कि जमोन्दारी के खिलाफ कोई बात इसमें नहीं है गर्चे रेन्ट वकाउन सेपरेट होने पर पेक्षा रखा गया है कि खगर किसी हिस्सेदार से रोख नहीं वस्त होगा तो फिर समूचा टेन्योर (tenure) नीलाम पर चड़ जायगा। में इस कान्न को नहीं पसन्द करता हूं, फि भी इस विज क्षे जरिये बुक् रिलोफ भिलती है। खच्छा है कि कड़ी तब बटर हो सकी इस विल को काम में लाया काय चौर मेलेव्ह कमिटी में देकर विलस्त ना ज जाय। मुभी इतना ही कंउना था।

Mr. DEOKI NANDAN PRASHAD :

सभावति जी. अभी जी कोटा नागपुर रेन्ट (एकाउन्ट सेपरेट विज पुज्र के सामने पेश किया गया है, उसको ओपीजीशन के नेता बाब चंद्रेश्वर प्रसाद नारायण सिंह ने कहा है कि सेरीफ़ कमिटो में भेजा जाय। में सममता है कि यह विल अपर चैस्बर के एक मेस्बर की खोर से खाया था। करीय डेढ़-दी सास हो गये यह तभी से आसमान में लटक रहा था। नव ग्रह अपर चैम्बर में आया था तो किसी वजह से उस मेखर को गैरवाजिरी से वह पेश नहीं हो सका। अब सरकार इसे लागी है। मेलेक्ट कमिटी से यह विल गुनर जुका है। मैं नहीं समभाता ह्ं कि इस धिल के लिपे जब सेसेक्ट कमिटी बनो थो, उसमें महाराज कुमार और चड़ालाज कम्हर्व नाथ शाह देव के नहीं रहने की वजह उन लोगों को या बड़े २ क्षमींदारों या छोटे जमींदारों को क्या द्वानि दुई । इस बिल में कोई विश्वेष दात नहीं है। सिर्फ इतना ही है कि क्रांटे २ जमीदार जो अख्डर टेन्योर होल्डरस (under Tonure Holders) है, उन्हें बढ़ें २ स्टेटों से। जागीशें के रूप में स्टेट मिली है 🕆 कई डिस्सेदारों में किसी के माल न देने के कारण सब का डिसा या पूरी स्टेट विक्री कर दी जाती है। इससे निरवराधीं को दुःश होता है। हिस्से दारों का अलग-यलग करके रेन्ट वसूर किया जाय। मैं नहीं समक्रता हं कि क्यों इसको सेर्लेष्ट समिटी में मेजने का प्रस्ताव किया जा रहा है। दूसलिये में इस प्रस्ताव का घोर विरोध करता हूं। इसकी सेलेफ कमिटी में न भेज कर जल्द से जल्द पास करने में देर न की जाय। यस, सुक्री इतनाही कहना है i

Mr. CHANDRESHVAR PRASHAD NARAYAN SINHA: Sir, I am thankful to the Hon'ble the Prime Minister for accepting the suggestion that I have just now made and, accordingly, with your permission I now formally move:

That the Chota Nagpur Tenure-holders' Rent Account (Amendment) Bill. 1939, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following members:—

The Hon'ble the Prime Minister,

The Parliamentary Secretary in charge of Revenue,

The Maharaj Kumar of Cheta Nagpur, Mr. Bara Lal Kandarp Nath Shah Deo,

Mr. Krishna Ballabh Sahay, and

The Advocate-General.

The Advocate decessary to make it bigger than this.

Mr. BARA LAL KANDARP NATH SHAH DEO: I want our leader should be there. So I beg to move:

That the name of Mr. Chandreshwar Prashad Narayan Sinha be added to the

The Hon ble Mr. SHRI KRISHNA SINHA: No Select Committee can be complete without him.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER; The question is:

That the name of Mr. Chandreshvar Prashad Narayan Sinha be added to the list of members of the Select Committee.

The motion was adopted.

The Hon ble Mr. SHRI KRISHNA SINHA: Sir, I would like to add a few more names to the list of members proposed for the Select Committee. I think Chota Nagpur should be better represented in the Select Committee.

Therefore, I beg to meve that the following three names be added to the list of the members of the Select Committee:—

- (1) Mr. Sukhlal Singh,
- (2) Mr. Jadubans Sabay, and
- (3) Mr. Khetra Nath Sen Gupta.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The question is:

That the names of-

Mr. Sukhlal Singh,

Mr. Jadubans Sahay, and

Mr. Khetra Nath Sen Gupta

be added to the list of members of the Select Committee.

The motion was adopted.

The Hon'ble the SPEAKER: The question is:

That the Chota Nagpur Tenure-holders' Rent Account (Amendment) Bill, 1939, be referred to a Select Committee consisting of the following members:—

- (1) The Hon'ble Mr. Sri Krishna Sinha,
- (2) Maharaj Kumar Raj Kishore Nath Shah Deo,
- (3) Mr. Baralal Kandarp Nath Shah Doo.
- (4) Mr. Sarangdhar Sinha,
- (5) Mr. Krishna Ballabh Sahay,
- (6) Mr. Baldeva Sahay (Advocate-General),
- (7) Mr. Sukhlal Singh,
- (8) Mr. Jadubans Sahay,
- (9) Mr. Khetra Nath Sen Gupta, and
- (10) Mr. Chandreshwar Prashad Narayan Sinha.

The motion was adopted.