

संख्या ३२



प्रवर्तमान

मन्त्रालय

10550

2

बिहार विधान सभा वादवृत्त

मुख्यमंत्री रिपोर्ट

बुधवार, तिस्र १४ मार्च, १९५१।

# The Bihar Legislative Assembly Debates OFFICIAL REPORT

Wednesday, the 14th March, 1951.

नवीन राष्ट्रीय पुस्तकालय, बिहार,  
पटना,  
१९५२

Price—4/6 (6)

## बिहार विधान सभा वादवृत्त।

बुधवार, तिथि २५ अप्रिल, १९५१।

भारत के संविधान के उद्बन्ध के अनुसार एकत्र विधान सभा का कार्य विवरण।

सभा का अधिवेशन पटने के सभा सदन में बुधवार, तिथि २५ अप्रिल, १९५१ को पूर्वाह्न ११ बजे माननीय अध्यक्ष श्री विन्ध्येश्वरी प्रसाद वर्मा के सभापतित्व में हुआ।

तारांकित प्रश्नोत्तर।

## Starred Questions and Answers.

## PLYING OF TRUCK WITHOUT PERMIT AND LICENSE.

\*1079. Shri RAJKISHORE SINGH : Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Transport be pleased to state—

(a) the truck of Shree Hargun Pd. Sahai had been reported to N. B. R. T. A. to be plying without permit and license by Superintendent, Commercial Taxes, Muzaffarpur which had been detected several times ;

(b) whether it is a fact that in spite of the aforesaid complaint against the said Shri Hargun Pd. Sahi a public carrier permit has been granted to said Shree Hargun Pd. Sahi, if so, on what basis ?

The Hon'ble Dr. SAIYID MAHMUD : (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The answer is in the affirmative.

In view of the urgency of Public Works Department work a temporary permit has been granted on the recommendation of the Public Works Department Authorities.

Government are however making further enquires into the matter.

## मोटर गाड़ी की रजिस्ट्री।

\*१०८०। श्री ठाकुर नरसिंह प्रसाद—क्या माननीय मंत्री, यातायात विभाग, निम्न-लिखित प्रश्नों का उत्तर देने की कृपा करेंगे कि—

(क) क्या यह बात सही है कि मोटरगाड़ी की चेसिज बदलने में रजिस्ट्री फीस नया का ३२ रुपया तथा पुराने का १६ रुपया देना पड़ता है ;

नोट :—तारांकित प्रश्न संख्या १०७९-१०८२ तिथि २४ अप्रिल १९५१ को not reached हुए थे।

NOMINATED PROMINENT WRITERS OF MAITHILI FOR THE CONFERENCE OF LETTERS CONVENED BY GOVERNMENT OF INDIA AT NEW DELHI.

\*1114. **Shri AWADH BIHARI JHA** : Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of Education be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that Government of Bihar had nominated prominent writers of Maithili for the Conference of Letters convened by Government of India at New Delhi and they were informed of the date and provisional agenda of the Conference ;

(b) whether it is a fact that one such prominent writer of Maithili did go to Delhi to attend the said Conference but was told that he was not a delegate inasmuch as Maithili was not a regional language recognised in the Constitution of the Indian Republic ;

(c) whether it is a fact that Government of Bihar did not know this fact before and if they did, why did they not inform in time those prominent writers of Maithili of this who were nominated for the Conference ;

(d) whether it is a fact that Government propose to compensate for the expenses incurred by one such nominated prominent writer of Maithili in going to Delhi by paying him proper travelling and halting allowances ?

**The Hon'ble Shri BADRINATH VERMA** : (a) Government of India had requested the Bihar Government to suggest names of prominent literary men of the State who might be considered for being invited to the Conference of letters by the Government of India. The State Government suggested the names of six literary men, three of whom were from amongst Maithili litterateurs. A copy of the letter sent to the Government of India was sent to these gentlemen also for information in case they might be prepared to attend the Conference.

(b) It has been brought to the notice of Government that one prominent writer of Maithili did go to the Conference even though he had not received the invitation. It is not known as to what he was told by the organiser of the Conference but obviously he was not invited and so should not have gone. One out of the six persons was invited by the Government of India and he participated in the conference.

(c) Government of Bihar had been asked only to suggest names of litterateurs. They did not know as to who had been invited. The invitations were extended direct to the invitees by the Government of India.

(d) The answer is in the negative.

**Shri AWADH BIHARI JHA** : Sir, I want to know whether any written communication has been received from any such person requesting for grant of travelling allowance by the State Government ?

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Why should travelling allowance be granted to a person when he attended the conference without express invitation?

**Shri AWADH BIHARI JHA :** I want to know whether a copy of the original agenda was sent to the gentleman?

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Sending of agenda is a different matter. Uninvited persons cannot be compensated it is obvious.

**EXTENSION OF SERVICE OF SHRI RAM SARAN UPADHYA, D. D. P. I.  
(BASIC AND PRIMARY).**

**\*1115. Shri BANDI RAM ORAON :** Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of Education be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that Shri Ram Saran Upadhyaya, D. D. P. I. (Basic and Primary) has been given extension of service, if so, for how long;

(b) how many extensions of service have been granted to him and whether the approval of Government in the Finance Department was obtained every time;

(c) how many more extensions of service are being proposed to be given to him;

(d) whether it is a fact that there was any proposal to find out a substitute for him in the year 1948 and whether it was decided to train that substitute in the work so that there might not be any necessity to give Shri Upadhyaya further extension;

(e) if the answer to clause (d) be in the affirmative, the reason for granting him further extension and step so far taken to find out the substitute officer and to train him up?

**The Hon'ble Shri BADRINATH VERMA :** (a) The answer is in the affirmative. The extension has been granted up to the 30th June, 1951.

(b) In all four extensions between 1st April, 1948 and 30th June 1951, that were granted, were with the concurrence of the Finance Department.

(c) That question cannot be answered now.

(d) The answer is in the affirmative. Some persons were to be trained to take up his place.

(e) The selected officers out of whom one may replace Mr. R. S. Upadhyaya is still under practical training and gathering necessary experience.