खंड ३ संख्या ३२

बिहार विधान सभा वादवृत्त

प्रखकरर्ति रिपोर्ट

बुधवार, तिथि १४ मार्च, १९५१।

The Bihar Legislative Assembly Debates

OFFICIAL REPORT

Wednesday, the 14th March, 1951

पटना : राजकीय मुद्रणालय, बिहार,
१९५२।

दस—६ बा०]
Price—As. [illegible] 6.]

बिहार विधान सभा वादवृत्त

बुधवार, तिथि ९ मई, १९५१

भारत के संविधान के उपबन्ध के अनुसार एकत्र विधान सभा का कार्य विवरण।

सभा का अधिवेशन पटने के सभा-सदन में बुधवार, तिथि ९ मई, १९५१ को पूर्वाह्न ११ बजे माननीय अध्यक्ष श्री विन्ध्येश्वरी प्रसाद वर्म्मा के सभापतित्व में हुआ।

अल्प-सूचना प्रश्नोत्तर।

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

माननीय अध्यक्ष—आज के सभी अल्प-सूचना प्रश्न माननीय राजस्व मंत्री और माननीय मुख्य मंत्री के नाम से हैं। माननीय राजस्व मंत्री ने मुझे तार द्वारा सूचित किया है कि वे समय पर न आ सकेंगे और इसलिए उन्होंने प्रार्थना की है कि उनके सभी प्रश्न आज स्थगित कर दिये जायें। मैं उनके सभी अल्पसूचना तथा साधारण प्रश्नों को कल के लिए स्थगित कर देता हूं।

तारांकित प्रश्नोत्तर।

STARRED QUESTIONS AHD ANSWERS.

SECRET OF RICE.

A *115. **Shri RAJKISHORE SINGH** : Will the Hon'ble the Minister in charge of the Supply and Price Control Department be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the attention of the Government has been drawn to an article published in a weekly paper of Ranchi, dated the 25th July, 1950 at page 3 under the caption—

"सरकारी रुपए से गुलछर्रे, मानभूम का एक कलुषित प्रकरण, चावल का भीतरी रहस्य";

(*b*) if the answer to clause (*a*) be in the affirmative, the action Government have taken in this matter?

Hon'ble Shri JAGLAL CHAUDHURY : (*a*) The answer is in the affirmative.

(*b*) The facts have not been correctly reported. Advance was paid to the purchasing agents against purchase reports after necessary verification. This verification could not naturally be infallible. To ensure against such errors security deposits had been taken from purchasing agents. At the time of making despatches shortages were found, which have all been made up by the agents, except a quantity of about 5,000 maunds of rice, which is still outstanding. The licenses of the purchasing agents concerned have been cancelled,

A—Postponed from the 23rd January and 27th April 1951 (as a special case).

माननीय श्री अब्दुल क्यूम अन्सारी—प्रश्न के प्रथम खंड का उत्तर स्वीकारात्मक है। रेलवे अधिकारियों को महेन्द्रू घाट से पहलेजा घाट और पहलेजा घाट से महेन्द्रू घाट के लिए टिकट देने का आदेश नहीं है क्योंकि उनकी यह कार्रवाई पटना गंगा फेरी के हक में हानिकारक है और बंगाल फेरीज ऐक्ट के नियम के विरुद्ध होगी। रेलवे अधिकारियों को सिर्फ थ्रू बुकिंग करने का आदेश है। इस अवस्था में यदि कोई यात्री पटना-गंगा फेरीज की नाव और स्टीमर को छोड़कर रेलवे के जहाज से सफर करता है तो उसे स्वभावतः कुछ अधिक किराया देना पड़ेगा।

उपरोक्त उत्तर के दृष्टिकोण से अंतिम खंड में उक्त प्रश्न नहीं उठता है।

TEA SHOP OF SHRI ANTONY ROZARIO.

C *1103. **Shri JITU RAM** : Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of Public Works Department be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the tea shop (temporary structure) by the side of the Catholic Press, Ranchi, of Shri Antony Rozario, Pathalkudua, Ranchi, was dismantled by the police by the order of the Executive Engineer, Ranchi Division, Ranchi ;

(*b*) whether it is a fact that before erecting the said Shri Antony Rozario had interviewed one of the Public Works Department officer, through the Parish Prist, R. C. Mission and that the said officer had asked Shri Antony to submit a formal application with the site plan for final sanction and so Shri Antony Rozario had set up the structure in good faith ;

(*c*) whether it is a fact that Shri Antony Rozario in his application, dated the 13th September 1950 had stated that he was a Pakistani (un-registered) and that he had no chances of returning to his home land ; Shri Antony Rozario and others in deputation to the Hon'ble Minister of Public Works Department, were given assurance that excepting the shops on the Public Works Department ground in front of the Degree College and the Sadr Hospital all other shops could continue without interference and that he would give his decision after sympathetic and careful consideration ;

(*d*) whether it is a fact that excepting the European Managing Directors of the Catholic Press Shri Antony Rozario had the full public support particularly of such persons as Shri Ignace Beck, M. L. A., the Secretary, Petty Shopkeepers Association and the Secretary, Congress Committee, Ranchi, Shri Antony Rozario separately kept on requesting the Public Works Department authorities for the sanction of the shops ;

(*e*) if the answer to clauses (*a*), (*b*), (*c*) and (*d*) be in the affirmative, state whether before the demolition of the said shop—

C—Postponed from the 25th April 1951.

(*i*) the Hon'ble Minister, Public Works Department had given already his consideration on the question of petty shops on the Public Works Department grounds as assured to the deputationists;

(*ii*) the Public Works Department apprised the Secretary, Petty shopkeepers, Ranchi, the Secretay of the Congress Committee, Ranchi and specially Shri Ignace Beck, M. L. A., with reference to their letter to the Executive Engineer on behalf of Shri Antony Rozario;

(*iii*) the Public Works Department had the sanction and the authority of the court for demolishing the shop, if the answers are in the negative, the reasons for each ?

The Hon'ble Shri ABDUL QUAIYUM ANSARI: (*a*) The answer is in the affirmative. In spite of repeated reminders to remove the unauthoritised structure on the Public Works Department road-side land Mr. Rozario did not do so and therefore police help was taken to demolish the same.

(*b*) Yes, Shri A. Rozario saw the Executive Engineer, Ranchi Division, with a letter from the Parish Priest of R. C. Mission with the request to allow the former to erect a tea stall but he was given clear direction by the Executive Engineer that no permission could be given on the recommendation of any person and Shri A. Rozario was instructed to submit his application with a site plan for investigation by the Public Works Department Officers and not to erect any structure without lease being sanctioned by the Public Works Department. Action of Shri A. Rozario to erect the structure in spite of warning was illegal.

(*c*) In his application, dated 2nd September 1950 (not 13th September 1950), he stated that he was a man from East Bengal. He mentioned nowhere that he had no chance of returning to his homeland. It is not a fact that Shri A. Rozario came to see the Minister, Public Works Department. It is however a fact that some other gentlemen went on deputation to the Minister but the Minister gave them no assurance in this particular case.

(*d*) It is not known to Government if Shri A. Rozario had full public support. Only letter of Parish Priest, R. C. Mission and a copy of letter from Shri I. Beck, M. L. A., was received recommending the case of Shri Rozario.

(*e*) (*i*) The reply is in the negative so far as the unauthorised structure on Ranchi-Purulia Road is concerned.

(*ii*) Only the Secretary, Petty Shopkeepers' Association was apprised of the fact. Letters from others were not received and hence the question does not arise.

(*iii*) The reply is in the negative. As the matter was not referred to any Court, Police Department are within their jurisdiction to give the help in removing the encroachment and therefore their assistance was sought only for its removal.

श्री देवकीनन्दन प्रसाद—जिस जमीन पर श्री रोजारियो ने टेम्पोररी स्ट्रक्चर बनाया है वह पब्लिक वर्क्स डिपार्टमेंट की जमीन है या म्युनिसिपैलिटी की ?

माननीय श्री अब्दुल क्यूम अन्सारी—जहां तक मैं जानता हूं जमीन पब्लिक वर्क्स डिपार्टमेंट की है ।

श्री देवकीनन्दन प्रसाद—प्रश्न से मालूम पड़ता है कि अधिक जमीन म्युनिसिपैलिटी की है और थोड़ी जमीन पब्लिक वर्क्स डिपार्टमेंट की है।

माननीय श्री अब्दुल क्यूम अन्सारी—हमें इसकी खबर नहीं है ।

C* **1104. Shri JITU RAM** : Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge Public Works Department be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the Public Works Department, Ranchi, has entered into agreeement with the Managing Director, European Press, Ranchi, for maintaining in order the premises of his Press ; if so, will Government be pleased to lay it on the table ;

(*b*) whether the European Managing Director had made several objections, verbal and written, to the Public Works Department authorities to the temporary tea shop of Shri Antony Rozario, Pathal Kudwa, Ranchi at the Purulia-Gudri join crossing on this basis ;

(*c*) if the answer to clause (*b*) be in the affirmative, whether the tea shop of Shri Antony Rozario was demolished on the objection of the said European Managing Director of the Press, if not, reasons therefor ;

(*d*) whether Government have considered the action of the Public Works Department authorities justified in view of the fact that Shri Antony Rozario is a Pakistani ;

(*e*) whether Government are prepared to restore the shop, if not, the reasons thereof ?

The Hon'ble Shri ABDUL QUAIYUM ANSARI : (*a*) The answer is in the negative.

(*b*) The answer is in the affirmative.

(*c*) The tea shop of Shri Antony Rozario was demolished not only on the objection of the Managing Director of Catholic Press but also because it was unauthorised structure constructed without

---

C—Postponed from the 25th April 1951.