GOVERNMENT OF BIHAR.

GOVERNOR OF BIHAR.

His Excellency Sir Maurice Garnier Hallett, K.C.S.L, C.LE., LO.S.

MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS.

The Hon'ble Mr. Shri Krishna Sinha, Prime Minister.

The Hon'ble Mr. ANUGRAH NARAYAN SINHA, Finance Minister.

The Hon'ble Dr. SAIVID MAHMUD, Minister of Education and Development.

The Hon'ble Mr. Jaglal Chaudhuri, Minister of Excise and Public Health.

LEASE OF VILLAGE MANSAGAR IN THE DISTRICT OF SHAHABAD.

131. Mr. BUDHAN RAI: Will Government be pleased to state-

- (a) whether it is a fact that village Mansagar, otherwise known as Ratanagar, tauzi no. 3839, in the district of Shahabad, was leased out by Government to one late Babu Mitirjit Singh of Kulharia under certain stringent conditions under the agreement, dated the 26th June 1861, executed by the lessee;
- (b) whether they are aware that it was provided in the agreement that if any of the conditions were violated the above village would be forthwith confiscated by Government;
- (c) whether it is a fact that under instructions from the Commissioner of the Patna Division an enquiry was held by a senior Deputy Collector of Arrah to ascertain whether any of the conditions was violated and his report was submitted to the Commissioner in due course;
- (d) whether it is a fact that in spite of the findings of the enquiry officer regarding the violation of the several conditions, Government did not take any action in the matter;
- (e) if the answer to clause (d) be in the affirmative, whether Government propose to set up an enquiry into the matter; if so, when, if not, why?

Mr. KRISHNA BALLABH SAHAY; (a) and (b) The answers

- (c) A complaint of faithlessness to Government was made, but as the terms of settlement did not stipulate faithfulness on the part of the lessee no action could be taken. The lease only stipulated good and satisfactory relations between the landlord and the tenant.
 - (d) and (e) The answers are in the negative.

ASSAULT ON A PERSON BY A SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE AND SOME CONSTABLES IN THE PREMISES OF SAKCHI POLICE-STATION.

- 132. Mr. DEVENDRA NATH SAMANTA: Will Government be
- (a) whether it is a fact that an inhabitant of Jamshedpur filed a complaint in the court of the Subdivisional Officer of Jamshedpur filed a month of January, 1936, alleging that he was severely assaulted in the premises of Sakchi police-station on the 16th day of January 1936, by a
- (b) if the answer to clause (a) be in the affirmative, whether Government are aware that the case ended in conviction of some of the accused;

 α

- (c) whether Government are aware that in the said case the complainant was compelled by the said officer to pay the expenses incurred by police officers in going to Jamshedpur to attend identification parade;
- (d) whether it is a fact that the complainant had to pay the said expenses; if so, what amount;
- (e) whether it is a fact that it is police authorities who suggested to the trying court that the complainant was liable to defray the expenses of police officers attending identification parade in connection with the case;
- (f) whether Government propose to refund the amount to the complainant?
- Mr. KRISHNA BALLABH SAHAY: (a) The answer is in the affirmative.
- (b) One constable was convicted under section 352, Indian Penal Code.
- (c) The accused were summoned under section 323, Indian Penal Code (non-cognizable). The test identification was held on the application of a private party who was, therefore, asked to pay the travelling expenses of the police officers attending the parade from other places. He was not asked to pay the expenses of the police officers actually identified as accused. The amount was paid on the order of the Subdivisional Officer.
 - (d) The complainant paid through the Court Rs. 54-5-6.
 - (e) The answer is in the negative.
 - (f) Government have now directed the amount to be refunded.

APPOINTMENT OF MEDICAL OFFICERS UNDER THE DISTRICT BOARDS OF CHOTA NAGPUR DIVISION.

- 133. Mr. SUKHLAL SINGH: Will Government be pleased to state—
- (a) the number of medical officers (sub-assistant surgeons) employed in each hospital and dispensary under the district boards of Chota Nagpur;
- (b) how many of them are qualified ex-students of the medical schools and college of the province of Bihar;
 - (c) how many of them are not holding the domicilé certificates;
- (d) what steps Government are taking to employ the students of this province in the hospitals and dispensaries of the district boards in the division of Chota Nagpur at an early date;
- (e) whether Government propose to appoint a committee to find out the scope of accommodating the students of the school and college of this province in the hospitals and dispensaries of the province;