

विहार विधान सभा वादवृत्त

मंगलवार, तिथि १० अक्टूबर, १९५०

भारत के संविधान के उपबन्ध के अनुसार एकत्र विधान सभा का कार्य विवरण।

सभा का अधिवेशन रांची के सभा भवन में मंगलवार, तिथि १० अक्टूबर, १९५० को ८-३० बजे पूर्वाह्न में माननीय अध्यक्ष श्री विन्ध्येश्वरी प्रसाद वर्मा के सभापतित्व में हुआ।

क्षल्प-सूचना प्रश्नोत्तर

Short Notice Questions and Answers.

LEASE OF SARANDA FOREST.

***15. Shri NANDKISHORE KARAYAN LAL :** Will the hon'ble the Minister, incharge of Forest, be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that Saranda forest, one of the richest forests in India, has three ranges in it, namely Koina, Samata and Gua, first two under monopoly lease on long term basis with Shri Babu, H. I. Pathak and M/s. B. T. T. Co., Ltd., owned by M/s. Jardine Henderson, a firm of England, and the third Gua range settled by public auction in small lots annually;

(b) whether it is a fact that Babu H. I. Pathak and M/s. B. T. T. Co. pay royalty at the rate of Rs. 17 per unit of three (maximum) and the annual revenue of only five lacs is received from them for the two ranges leased to them;

(c) whether it is a fact that several other rebates and concessions are given to them at the above rate for long distance and hill-working;

(d) whether Government have calculated that these two ranges if sold in small lots by auction each will fetch an annual revenue of 10 lacs of rupees;

(e) if the answer to clause (d) be in the affirmative, whether Government consider the desirability of revising their decision and also enlightening the House of their policy in this respect?

The Hon'ble Shri KRISHNA BALLABH SAHAY : (a) Yes, the Saranda forest has the three ranges in it named and the forest in two of them, viz., Koina and Samta are worked on leases by Babu H. I. Pathak and Messrs. Bengal Timber Trading Co., Ltd., whose managing agents are Messrs. Jardine Henderson. The forests of Gua Felling Series were also being settled by leases until June 1946, when Government decided to change the system of sale of this Felling Series, as an experimental measure, to one of annual auction.

*माननीय सदस्य के अनुपस्थिति में श्री जगन्नाथ सिंह के निर्वेदन पर उत्तर दिया गया।

सिन्दरी फर्टीलाइजर में बिहारों की नियुक्ति।

*२७२। श्री जयनारायण विनीत—क्या माननीय सत्री, विकास विभाग, बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि—

(क) क्या सरकार का ध्यान "Grievances of the Biharis at Sindri Fertilizer Factory Project." शीर्षक प्रकाशित एक परचे की ओर गया है;

(ख) क्या सरकार ने उक्त परचे में नीकरी में बहाल किये गये आदमियों और ठेकेदारों के विषय में जो आंकड़े दिये गये हैं और जो शिकायतों की गयी हैं, उनकी छानबीन की है;

(ग) उन आंकड़ों और शिकायतों में कितनी सच्चाई है;

(घ) क्या यह बात सही है कि बिहार विधान सभा ने सरकार से सिफारिश की थी कि बिहार में चलने वाले सभी उद्योग-धंधों, व्यापार व्यवसायों में ८० प्रतिशत बिहारियों की नियुक्ति हो और सरकार ने इसे स्वीकार भी कर ली;

(ङ) इस स्वीकृति और सिफारिश के बावजूद भी सिन्दरी फैक्टरी में बिहारियों के प्रति जो अन्याय हो रहा है उसको रोकने के लिये सरकार ने क्या किया या या करने जा रही है?

श्री वीरचन्द्र पटेल :—(क) उत्तर स्वीकारात्मक है।

(ख) और (ग) सिन्दरी ब्राय फैक्टरी से सूचना मांगी गई, लेकिन अभी तक कोई सूचना नहीं मिली।

(घ) सरकार को ऐसी कुछ स्वीकृति की सूचना नहीं है।

(ङ) इस विषय पर पत्र व्यवहार जारी है।

REALISATION OF FINE FROM THE OWNER OF MOTOR VEHICLES FOR CONTRAVENTION OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE MOTOR VEHICLES ACT.

*273. **Shri PURNA CHANDRA MITRA** : Will the Transport Minister be pleased to state—

(a) whether Government are aware that on the 30th July, 1950, all kinds of Motor Vehicles including Bus-passengers, Public Carriers, Private Carriers, Taxi Cars, Private Cars were checked by the Police all over the State and hundreds of car owners or drivers were arrested and summarily tried by some wayside courts for alleged contravention of the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act, and in most cases convicted and ordered to pay fine on the spot failing which the vehicle as well as the accused were detained till realisation of fine;

(b) if the answer to clause (a) be in the affirmative the name of the authority under whose orders the checking were made and also instructions that were sent to different Headquarters of the State regarding the checking episode;

(c) whether the Police officers who were deputed to check the vehicles were asked to go through the provisions of the Motor Vehicle Act before they started checking;

(d) whether there was any standing order by Government or any Superior Officer, to make no distinction between the Public Vehicles and Private Cars, and to arrest and detain the passengers on board and put on trial drivers or owners of private cars who fail to produce either tax payment token, driving licence or Registration book;

(e) whether Government are aware that in instruction no. 1 on page 4 of the Registration book there is clear provision to the effect that the private car owners are to produce the book before the appropriate authority within ten (10) days' demand for inspection?

The Hon'ble Dr. SAIYID MAHMUD : (a) With a view to stop the infringement of the provisions of the Motor Vehicles Act, and the rules framed thereunder which had become rampant, the State Government issued directions for a check of all motor vehicles in the State. This check was conducted on the 30th July. In most cases the offenders were tried on the spot, but Government have no information that the offenders were harassed, or dealt with otherwise than according to law, except in one case of which serious notice has been taken. On the contrary, the instructions were that though the check was to be made rigorously, it was to be done politely, and there was to be no harassment. Government have no reason to think that these instructions were not followed.

(b) The checking was done under the direction of the State Government. The instructions were secret, and it would not be in the public interest to disclose them.

(c) The answer is in the affirmative. There was a specific direction to this effect.

(d) The check was directed mainly against the owners, conductors and drivers of transport vehicles, though private cars could also be checked. Government had ordered that the trials should be conducted immediately, or as soon after the check as possible. All officers were expected to comply with the provisions of the law and Government will certainly look into any case of irregularity that is brought to their notice with particulars.

(e) The answer is in the affirmative.

INCONVENIENCE CAUSED TO DR. MUKHERJEE BY POLICE OFFICER.

*274. **Shri PURNA CHANDRA MITRA :** Will the Hon'ble Minister in charge of Transport Department be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that on the 30th July, 1950, at 10 A. M. Dr. Jadugopal Mukharjee, a leading medical practitioner of Ranchi, while going on an urgent professional call to see an