

बिहार विधान सभा वादवृत्त ।

बृहस्पतिवार, तिथि २० सितम्बर, १९५१ :

भारत के संविधान के उपबन्ध के अनुसार एकत्र विधान सभा का कार्य विवरण ।

सभा का अधिवेशन पटने के राज्यपाल भवन में बृहस्पतिवार, तिथि २० सितम्बर १९५१ को अपराह्न १ १/२ बजे माननीय अध्यक्ष श्री विन्ध्येश्वरी प्रसाद वर्मा के सभापतित्व में हुआ ।

तारांकित प्रश्नोत्तर ।

STARRED QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

पुलिस विभाग का पुनर्संगठन ।

A\*७०। श्री जयनारायण 'विनीत'—क्या माननीय मुख्य मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि—

(क) क्या यह बात सही है कि बिहार के पुलिस विभाग की ओर से एक उच्च पदस्थ अफसर, पुलिस विभाग के पुनर्संगठन तथा सुधार के विचार से अध्ययनार्थ विदेश भेजे गये थे ;

(ख) क्या यह बात सही है कि एक वर्ष से भी अधिक हो गया कि उक्त अफसर ने पुनर्संगठन एवं सुधार संबंधी एक योजना सरकार के समक्ष रखी है जो कार्यान्वित नहीं की जा रही है ;

(ग) यदि खंड (क) और (ख) के उत्तर 'हां' हैं तो सरकार की ओर से कब तक उस योजना पर विचार खतम होगा और कब से वह अमल में आने लग जायगी ;

(घ) उस योजना का मुख्य स्वरूप क्या है ?

माननीय श्री कृष्णवल्लभ सहाय—(क) और (ख) यूनाइटेड किंगडम में लौटने के बाद इस अफसर ने पुलिस रिवॉर्गेनिजेशन ऐंड ऐडमिनिस्ट्रेशन के विषय पर एक रिपोर्ट दी। यह रिपोर्ट उन्होंने १९५० के अन्त में दी और एक योजना भी तैयार करके सरकार के पास दी है। इस योजना के चंद अंगों के ऊपर जैसे करप्ट आफिसर को या ऐसे अफसर को जिनकी उपयोगिता कम हो गयी है, कम्पलसरिली रिटायर कर दिया जाय और सरकार ने इसका फैसला भी कर लिया है। इस फैसले के अनुसार कार्रवाई

## राष्ट्रपति से प्राप्त संदेश।

## MESSAGE RECEIVED FROM THE PRESIDENT.

**Secretary to the Assembly :** Sir, the President of India signified his assent to the Bihar Development of Ayurvedic and Unani Systems of Medicine Bill, 1950 and a copy of the Bill has been laid on the table of the House on the 20th September, 1951.

## बिहार-विधान-परिषद् से प्राप्त संदेश।

## MESSAGE RECEIVED FROM THE BIHAR LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

The Bihar Legislative Council has agreed to the Bihar District Board and Municipality Preparation of Electoral Rolls and Conduct of Elections (Barring of Courts' Jurisdiction) Bill, 1951, without any amendment.

The Bihar Legislative Council has agreed to the Bihar Mining Settlements (Amendment) Bill, 1951 with certain amendments, a copy of which is laid on the table of the House.

The Bihar Legislative Council has agreed to the Bihar Appropriation (No. 4) Bill, 1951 without any amendment.

पटना कारपोरेशन बिल, १९५० पर विचार करने तथा उसे स्वीकार करने के संबंध में विशेष प्रस्ताव।

## SPECIAL MOTION REGARDING CONSIDERATION AND PASSING OF THE PATNA CORPORATION BILL, 1950.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** Sir, I beg to move :

That this Assembly do resolve that time from now upto Saturday, the 22nd September, 1951, be allotted for the purposes of the consideration and passing of the Patna Corporation Bill, 1950, as reported by the Select Committee, by this Assembly.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, I rise on a point of order. This motion which has been moved just now involves three questions. The first question is that the question hour should be suspended. The second is that one non-official day should be devoted to official business and the third is that all the time of the Assembly should be devoted to the consideration and passage of the Patna Corporation Bill. So Sir, there are three difficult issues which have been raised by my friend in one motion and this is not permissible under the rules of business of our House. The relevant rule in this connection is rule 31 which reads as follows :—

“ Except as otherwise provided in these rules a matter requiring the decision of the Assembly shall be decided by means of a question put by the Speaker on a motion made by a member ”.

Then there is rule 35 which says—

"Except as otherwise provided in these rules, the provisions of rules 130, and 135 to 142 shall, so far as may be, apply to all motions".

We are concerned with rule 131 which says—

"No resolution shall be admissible which does not comply with the following conditions, namely:—

(a) it shall be clearly and precisely expressed and shall raise one definite issue;".

So, Sir, I take my stand on this very rule 131 which applies to this motion. There may be some hon'ble members who would be willing to accept my hon'ble friend's motion regarding one matter but they may not accept the motion in regard to the other two matters.

Some of us may agree to the suspension of the question hour, but we may not agree that a non-official day should be devoted to official business and the whole time should be devoted to the consideration and passage of the Patna Corporation Bill. So, I would request you not to allow this motion and I would also request my friend to table three different motions on the three different questions which are involved.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** I need not discuss the purpose of this motion which affects the procedure of this House and is self-evident. As regards the three issues raised by my friend Shri Amin Ahmad, I may point out that the first regarding the suspension of the question hour is not the idea of this motion nor its idea is that the whole time from now up to the 22nd September, 1951 be allotted for the consideration and passing of the Patna Corporation Bill. I have given an amendment that the words "after question hour is over" be added to this motion, it means that this Bill may be taken up after the question hour is over every day as is the practice. So, Sir, there would be no difficulty in accepting this amendment and if the House would so please the question hour may be excluded. As regards the conversion of the non-official day to official day I have already given notice for it in a separate motion, Sir. That motion should follow this one or both may be taken up together. So, Sir, this is a simple thing. Now the only point is that one non-official day should be converted to official day and three days may be devoted for the consideration and passing of this Bill. In such circumstances, Sir, an extraordinary motion may be moved for the settlement of the business of the House. Whether the hours for the sitting of the House should be changed is quite an independent suggestion and might be settled with your ruling or decision of the House. I have no opinion to offer either way.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Three points have been raised by the hon'ble member. The first point is about the suspension of the question hour. The second is that one non-official day should be devoted to official business and the third is that all the time of the Assembly from now up to the 22nd September, 1951 be allotted for the purpose of the consideration and passing of the Patna Corporation Bill, 1950, as reported by the Select Committee. Now, if the House be in a mood to decide that the question hour be suspended, there would be no difficulty on the score of the rule. The Hon'ble Minister has himself tabled an amendment that the words "after question hour is over" be inserted in his motion and it may be that the House may accept this amendment. So there can be no difficulty regarding this. The second point is regarding conversion of the non-official day into official day and it is for the House to decide. Regarding 'all the time' about which the hon'ble member has raised a point of order I should say that it never means that the House is to sit for all the twenty-four hours without any break. It means the hours for which the Assembly generally sits or the hours the House decides to sit for the purpose. I therefore think that there is no point of order.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, there is one more point of order which may be considered. We have not received the copy of the other motion regarding conversion of the non-official day into official day. Again, Sir, the wording of the motion says that all the time from now up to Saturday,—that includes Friday the 21st September 1951. This is also to be considered.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** If that be the meaning of the hon'ble member, then the point of order is all right but this does not preclude the Hon'ble Minister from moving this motion.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, in that case the motion will be against the rule because it is not clear.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Order, Order. No argument.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, I am not arguing but I am simply pointing out the rule. As the Special Motion stands, time from now up to Saturday, the 22nd September, 1951 including Friday, the 21st September, 1951 is to be allotted for the purpose of the consideration and passage of the Bill. This conflicts with sub-rule 15(1) of the Bihar Assembly Rules, which says that on Fridays private members' business shall have precedence.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I have already said that it is for the House to decide. If any motion regarding this is moved by the Hon'ble Minister, the House may first consider it and then if the House so desires, it can be given effect to; otherwise, not. So it does not preclude the Hon'ble Minister from moving his motion.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, if this motion is put, our right would be lost. The decision of the House may be according to the wishes of the majority. But if there is a certain right which has already been given to the members of the House under the Rules, the decision of the House is not necessary. Suppose this motion is put and passed. That will mean that Friday will become an official day.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Where is that rule ?

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Rule 15(1) of the Bihar Assembly Rules.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I have already received notice of a motion that the provision of Rule 15(1) of the Bihar Legislative Assembly Rules be suspended and Government business be given precedence over private members' business.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I have not received a copy of that.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** You will get that.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Then another day should be substituted for the non-official business.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Order, Order. That is my look out.

माननीय पंडित विनोदानन्द झा—अध्यक्ष महोदय, इस प्रस्ताव को हाउस के सामने लाने के दो तीन कारण हैं, जिनको मैं आपके सामने रख देता चाहता हूँ। पहला कारण तो यह है कि इस हाउस के जलसे की यह आखरी सिटिंग है। हम यहां इकट्ठा होते हैं तो बिजिनेस ट्रेजेक्ट होता है और हर काम के लिये एक कन्यदा होता है जो हमलों पर लगाम डालता है। हमारे सामने सबाल साफ है कि इन सब बातों को मद्दे नजर रखते हुये इस बिल को हाउस के सामने रखें और इस पर डिस्कशन करें या नहीं, जहां तक इस बिल के सम्बन्ध में बहस मुवाहसा का ताल्लुक है, गवर्नमेंट ने बहस में क्वायतसारी करने के लिये कभी आग्रह नहीं किया। जब यह बिल पेश हुआ तो उस पर लम्बी-लम्बी बहस हुई। सेलेक्ट कमिटी की पच्चीसों सिटिंग्स हुई और काफी मेहनत के बाद यह रिपोर्ट तैयार हुई। ओरिजिनल बिल की जो शकल होती है उसकी अहमियत और होती है और जब कमिटी द्वारा बिल पेश होता है तो उसकी अहमियत दूसरी होती है। यह बिल वही है जिसे सेलेक्ट कमिटी ने भेजा है।

तीसरी बात यह है कि हमारे दोस्त श्री सैयद अमीन अहमद को इस प्रस्ताव से घबड़ाना नहीं चाहिए क्योंकि ऑर्डर पेपर में जितने भी अमैंडमेंट हैं, हमारे दोस्त के ही हैं। कानून में हमारे दोस्त को अमैंडमेंट पेश करने का हक देता है मगर जब वे सिलेक्ट कमिटी के मेम्बर थे तो वहां उनका फर्ज था कि वे इन अमैंडमेंटों को पेश करते। यदि वहां उनका अमैंडमेंट वोट डाउन हो जाता तब वे यहां उन्हें पेश करते तब वाजिब

समझा जाता। लेकिन वहां उन्होंने ऐसा नहीं किया। तब हाउस को यह अस्वित्यार है कि वह उनसे इस बात के बारे में पूछे कि उन्होंने वहां अपने फर्ज को अदा न कर यहां इस तरह के अमंडमेंट क्यों पेश कर रहे हैं।

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I seriously object to that expression.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** This expression is completely parliamentary.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** The same compliment will be paid to others.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :**

उनको हाउस की मंशा और रूलिंग के मुताबिक काम करना चाहिए, लेकिन यहां स्थिति यह है कि.....

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** No aspersion should be cast on the members of the Select Committee.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** Every member has a right to ask whether he put the proposition before the Select Committee or not. He was a member of the Select Committee and if he puts a motion which in fact involves redrafting of the Bill which was approved by the Select Committee, every member has a right to ask why he did not put his proposition in the Select Committee. If he had put the proposition in the Select Committee and if the Committee had given an adverse decision, he would have been perfectly justified in coming before this House and the House would have supported him; but he did not do so. I am placing this resolution for the consideration of the House and for the regulation of its business.

इसलिए इस बिल की अहमियत को ध्यान में रखते हुए और समय की कमी से जो स्थिति पैदा हो गयी है उसका ख्याल करते हुए हम समझते हैं कि इस बिल को पास करने के लिए तिथि निश्चित करने में हाउस को आपत्ति नहीं होनी चाहिये। जैसी जरूरत होती है, ऑफिशियल डे को भी नन-ऑफिशियल डे में कन्वर्ट कर दिया जाता है और नन-ऑफिशियल डे को ऑफिशियल डे में कन्वर्ट कर दिया जाता है। इसे ठीक करना अध्यक्ष के जिम्मे रहता है और वे इसका इन्तजाम करते हैं। ऐसा करने से हाउस को सुविधा होती है और किसी के हक में दखलदेहानी नहीं होती है। एक ऐसी ही स्थिति इस बिल को लेकर आ गयी है और इसी सेशन में इसे पास करना जरूरी हो गया है। इसलिए मैं इस स्पेसल मोशन को हाउस के सामने रखता हूँ जिसमें वह इस बिल को पास करने के लिए एक तारीख निश्चित कर दे। हाउस में पहले भी ऐसा आ है।

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, I do not think any useful purpose will be served if I move any amendment in the atmosphere which has been created by my hon'ble friend.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I am not concerned with all that. I ask whether you are inclined to move the motion for which a notice has been given.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I shall certainly move my amendment but I have to give a reply to my friend also.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** That is another matter.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, I beg to move :

That in line 2 of the resolution after the words "do resolve" the words "after question hours" be added.

**The Hon'ble The SPEAKER :** It should be "after questions and answers".

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** It means that. It means the hour allotted for questions and answers for two days, i.e., 21st and 22nd September, 1951. The Assembly Rule itself says, "Unless the Speaker otherwise directs the first hour of every meeting shall be available for the asking and answering of questions :

Provided that the Speaker may, in his discretion, allow more time for the purpose."

I do not want to move my amendment. I shall challenge it as my friend is going against rules.

**The Hon'ble The SPEAKER :** I am not concerned with the reasons.

**\*Shri RAMESHWAR PRASAD SINGH :** Sir, I am sure, at this fag end of the session and also at the fag end of the life of the Assembly, there will not be any such thing as a ruling from the Chair, or a precedent created for the future guidance of the members of the Assembly.....

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** This is an exceptional procedure. The hon'ble member should know that this is an extraordinary and exceptional procedure, and the House has to consider the circumstances in which the resolution has been moved.

**Shri RAMESHWAR PRASHAD SINGH :** Sir, the moment you find me speaking irrelevant you are at liberty to stop me then and there. The intention of Government is that Bill of such importance should be passed and discussion over this Bill does not matter whether one member of the House takes part or does not.

\*Speech not corrected by the hon'ble member.

take part. You know my attitude from the very beginning and I am one of those who has not spoken anything so far as this Bill is concerned. Sir, I am simply speaking about the privilege and rights of a member and specially on the occasion when an unusual procedure is being adopted by this House.

As regards the tactics either call it constructive or obstructive, you are perfectly within your right to see that no such thing should happen. You are here not only to see that whatever Bill is brought before the House should be passed within a short time but there should be thorough discussion as far as possible. You see, Sir, that no time has been allotted for this Bill. Some day two hours to three hours are allowed for the discussion of this Bill. Even 30 hours have not been allotted for this Bill. Whatever time is fixed for any Bill, it is for you, Sir, to see that that time-limit is utilised towards that Bill.

Now I come to the point raised by the Hon'ble Minister. He says that a member who is present in the Select Committee should not behave in the way he is behaving here. May I draw your attention to the fact that even in the case of Government sponsored Bill many amendments had to be moved and got them accepted by the House.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** The hon'ble member himself was one of the members in the Select Committee, but he has not given any amendment.

**Shri RAMESHWAR PRASAD SINGH :** Sir, I am speaking of the privilege of the House.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I am also here to protect the privilege of the House. I am the custodian of it. So long I am here, I assure you that I shall try to protect the rights and privileges of the members of the House. The allocation of time-limit forms no part of the general procedure of the House, but is solely dependent on the nature and importance of the Bill. In this particular case the general procedure stands undisturbed. If there is any special thing, the House is the Judge to decide as to what should be done in such matters.

**Shri RAMESHWAR PRASAD SINGH :** Before this decision is taken up the Chair is the best person to say and perhaps we have not come to such a stage that special procedure should be adopted. Apart from the hours which are at our disposal and the stage which has come, I do not think that a special procedure should be adopted so that the Bill may be finished within a short time. If you consider that such a stage has come then certainly you are within your right to place a resolution before this House. When a closure motion is applied it means that the Bill has been sufficiently discussed and then only closure motion is applied.



**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** So far as my satisfaction is concerned, I think the time allotted for the discussion of the Bill is sufficient enough to consider the resolution before the House.

**Shri RAMESHWAR PRASAD SINGH :** If you are satisfied, then I have got nothing to add further and I resume my seat.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, in the first place I shall dispose of the constitutional point. You have referred to May's Parliamentary procedure which is not applicable to Indian Legislatures after the Constitution of India has made special provisions in regard to particular matters. As I was saying the Constitution of India has provided that rules for the conduct of business of the House should be framed by the House itself. So we are not bound even by what the Parliament has done in regard to its own business, because the Constitution is something higher than the Parliament and we are subordinate to the Parliament only in regard to those matters in which we have been made subordinate to it by this Constitution and in other matters we are supreme. The Constitution says that the rules for the conduct of business shall be framed by the House itself and until that has been done, the rules which were in existence before the promulgation of the constitution should remain in force subject to such modifications and adaptations as may be made by the Speaker.

And in accordance with this provision of the Constitution certain adaptations have been made by you, and here is the copy of the rules as adapted by you under article 208, sub-clause (2) of the Constitution of India. So we are to be guided only by these rules and not by what the Parliament of England has done nor even by what the Parliament here or any State Legislature in India has done. Now, is there any such rule in our Rules of Business that a time-limit should be fixed for the passing of Bills. There is no such rule. Sir, my friend should have amended the rule first and there is a prescribed procedure for that. If my friend wants that any rule should be amended he should give notice of ten days.....

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Is there any rule against it?

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** When it is not here then you cannot do anything which is not provided for by the rules.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** Rules 190 and 191 give you, Sir, sovereign powers in respect of a matter for which there is no provision contained in the rules. If there is no rule a motion can be moved by your order.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I am coming to that. Have you asked his permission?

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** That is the difference between our stand and your stand. You want to throw the odium on the Speaker while I want to throw the odium on the House. I want the decision of the House and you want to impeach the decision of the Speaker.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** He wants that the odium should be thrown on the House. I want that the odium should not be thrown on anyone except his own self. Now, it is my hon'ble friend's business to move for the amendment of the rules.....

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** That is not necessary. Where is the rule which requires amendment ?

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** The rules are from 107 onwards. There is a whole chapter full of rules relating to the consideration of amendments and passing of Bills.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I want the specific rule.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** For example, there is a rule that no time-limit should be placed on members speaking on Bills.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** The hon'ble member should refer to the rule. Where is it ?

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** This chapter itself will show this.....

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I don't want the chapter. Where is the specific rule.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** The specific rule is this. First of all my hon'ble friend has brought a motion that the Bill be taken into consideration. I have given notice of amendments under rule 107 and all my amendments must be taken into consideration.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Where is that rule ?

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** That rule is 108 : " Amendments shall ordinarily be considered in the order of the clauses of the Bill to which they respectively relate. "

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** What does the word " ordinarily " mean ?

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Then rule 109 says that after that the Bill be taken up clause by clause. The Speaker shall call the number of each clause separately. This is the procedure which has been laid down and then everything has to be put and after the motion that the Bill be taken into consideration has been carried and no amendment of the Bill is moved the motion for passing may be moved. But if amendments have been proposed for all the amendments must be disposed of before the motion for passing of the Bill is moved. Every clause must be considered and

disposed of and no time-limit can be imposed. Sir, under the rules you cannot fix any time-limit for the disposal of the Bill. It is no use quoting May's Parliamentary Practice.....

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** The hon'ble member is not in order in questioning the language as used by the Speaker.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I am not referring to you, Sir, I am referring to my hon'ble friend. Before I finish this point I want to refer to rule 191 also : The Speaker shall have power, subject to the provisions of the Constitution, to regulate the conduct of business in Assembly in all matters not provided for in the Constitution of India or in these rules. In this matter there is a clear provision as to how a bill should be considered and how it should be disposed of. So, you have no power now to go beyond these rules. If there is any defect in the rules you must first get it amended. You have to give 10 days' notice. After notice has been given under rule 106, the matter must be referred by the Speaker and to a Select Committee and then a decision of the House has to be taken. As matters stand at present, my hon'ble friend cannot move the motion which he has moved. He has no right to move it because it is against the rules, and with all due submission to you Sir, as Speaker of the House, I feel constrained to say that even you have no right to go beyond the rules, because here everything has been provided for and the rules as they stand are as much binding on you as on any other member of the House. As the rules stand, Sir, there is no provision about time-limit on discussion of Bills. So, you, Sir, also cannot put any time-limit. I have dealt with sub-rule (1) of rule 191. As regards sub-rule (2) everything has to be considered by you in your capacity as Speaker. My hon'ble friend has no right to move any motion even if you take the worst view of rule 191. Sub-rule (2) gives power to the Speaker and not to the House but this sub-rule does not apply, because there is a clear provision in the rules and your hands are tied.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** All this argument is based on the supposition that the hon'ble member is afraid that all the clauses will not be put. In this motion there is no mention that rule 109 should not be observed. On the supposition that time may not be left for the consideration of amendments separately, this apprehension is gaining ground in the mind of the hon'ble member speaking.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, it will not be possible for us to do our duty and give the fullest amount of consideration to the Bill which it deserves, on account of its importance. That is our greatest apprehension. If I had felt that there was a chance that all our amendments would be considered, I would have said nothing and I give you my word of honour that I am prepared to sit for the whole night if my friend is prepared to do so.

I give you my word of honour, Sir, that I am prepared to sit here continuously for 100 hours, if necessary. I can give up even my sleep and sit continuously—excepting for short intervals of few minutes only for lunch, dinner or prayers.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** No more.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I have to refer to the personal attacks which have been made on me and I feel sorry that my friend should have considered that part of his argument to be the only argument which he could place before the House. I feel sorry for him.

He has talked of the Select Committee. I should like to say in this connection that my friend was a member of the Select Committee on the Bihar Public Health Bill. Being a Minister in charge of Local Self-Government he was a very important member of the Committee but my friend did not attend even a single meeting of that Committee. My friend levels charges against me but may I ask him, why he failed to discharge his duty.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** The difference between me and you is that whereas I did not attend the meeting due to pre-occupation, you attended the meeting but failed to suggest amendments.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I will deal with that question later on. Here the question is that you want to throw a charge of dereliction of duty over the head of other hon'ble members but I ask you whether as a Minister of Government you did your duty all right.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** There is a division of work between us and if I did not attend the meetings of the Select Committee, one of my colleagues did the same and presided over it.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** The question of presiding or not presiding is altogether a different matter. The fact remains that you were a member of the Select Committee and you did not perform your duty as such. The Public Health Bill was also born perhaps about the same time as this Corporation Bill. That Public Health Bill was committed and re-committed to the Select Committee several times and a lot of time was taken over the drafting and preparation of that Bill or the report of the Select Committee. When the Public Health Bill as reported by the Select Committee came before the House it is true that I was the only member who criticised it. The Chief Minister and the Finance Minister were present in the House when I got up and pointed out the glaring defects of the Bill, and in spite of the fact that the Public Health Minister was anxious to get the Bill passed, the Chief Minister and the Finance Minister asked the Public Health Minister not to

proceed with the measure and the result of my criticism was that the Bill was dropped by Government. You may say that this stands to my discredit.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** No reference to personal achievements.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, I have been attacked in a most unceremonious manner. Sir, I have tabled so many amendments because they were necessary and I think that the Patna Corporation Bill will meet the same fate as the Public Health Bill.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** You will not succeed in doing so in your life.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** This Bill will not be passed—you will have to wait until the next election.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** You are not coming in the next election. This is the last speech that you are delivering in this House.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** We know what will happen at the next election. You withdraw the Bill, and face the electorate.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Why withdraw?

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Because he is challenging me.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** You are not worth challenging.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** You too are not only not worth challenging but also not worthy of being taken notice of.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** If the hon'ble member will indulge in talking to another hon'ble member in this way, I shall be forced to ask the hon'ble member to resume his seat.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I was not talking to another member but Sir, there has been a show of temper by my friend.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** When the hon'ble member is on his legs he is responsible to the House and he should address the Chair.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** All right, Sir. As regards the Select Committee, I am not prepared to admit that because the Bill has been examined by the Select Committee, it is free from any defect. The proof of this lies in the fact that during the last three or four days the Bill has been under consideration of this House. My friend has accepted at least 50 of my amendments. Is that a proof of the fact that these amendments were unnecessary, as my friend has put it? If you ask your Secretary, Sir, he will tell you that about 50 amendments have been accepted and there is a positive proof of defects in the Select Committee Report. The Local Self Government Minister accepted my amendments because he was

compelled to do so. I have no doubt that he will be compelled to accept many more amendments which are going to be moved by me.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** That is irrelevant.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, the question is, has a member of the Select Committee a right to table amendments ?

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** No, the question here is that the motion should be passed.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** That question has been unnecessarily raised by the Hon'ble Minister.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** The hon'ble member always swears by the rule but he does not realise that personal explanation is given only to the extent required. The rule is—

“ A member may, with the permission of the Speaker, make a personal explanation at any time, but in doing so he shall not bring forward any debatable matter, nor shall any debate be allowed on such explanation. ”

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I agree with you and I have already finished that point.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Then the hon'ble member may resume his seat.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I have yet to speak about the merits of the motion.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** You have already spoken.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** I have only spoken on the legal aspect of it and I have to speak on its merit now.

**The Hon'ble The SPEAKER :** The hon'ble member should take as little time as possible.

*(Voice : never, never.)*

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, my friend is anxious that about 546 clauses should be considered within a period of three days. I do not understand what the exact meaning is of the words “ consideration and passage ” as used by him.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Does the hon'ble member mean that the reference of the Bill to the Select Committee was useless ? After all, the Bill is referred to Select Committee to save the time of the House and in fact many changes have been made by the Committee, but if the members are still dissatisfied, the Bill may be further amended.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** And that is being done.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** It cannot be said that all the 540 clauses require amendment and reconsideration.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Yes, Sir, they do. The whole picture is this.....

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I will not allow any hon'ble member to cast any reflection on the work of the Select Committee.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Is it possible that 550 clauses should be considered and disposed of in three days?

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** Even according to you there are many clauses which do not require any amendment and their number may be about 100. They are undisputed clauses.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** But there are disputed clauses also and I have still to move about 400 amendments. So my submission is this that as the Parliament of India is sitting till the first week of October in spite of the Puja holidays let us also sit till the first week of October. Only to pass a few chapters of the Hindu Code Bill the Parliament is sitting till the first week and why don't you do the same thing here? Let there be full consideration of the Bill and let us sit till the 7th or 8th. Why is my friend anxious to put a time-limit and deny full consideration? My friend talks about the Congress Committee meetings. Even if there be a Congress Committee meeting there is nothing which should prevent the Local Self-Government Minister from coming to the House. He has made a reference either today or on a previous occasion about the General Elections.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I made that reference.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** You made a reference today, Sir, and the Chief Minister also in his short speech earlier made a reference about the General Elections.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** I did not make any reference about it.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Only those gentlemen who are standing as candidates may remain absent. Under the Constitution we may continue to sit here as members of the Assembly until the elections are concluded and the first session of the next Assembly is called. So there is no hurry and it is absolutely necessary that the Bill should be properly considered. Sir, the whole scheme of the Bill is to create an officer—the Chief Executive Officer—who under section 462, can send a requisition to the Superintendent of Police and can get any body arrested. A chit from him is sufficient to get anybody arrested by the police. Sir, he will be the Super-Dictator of Patna. My friend does not want that the clauses containing these provisions should be considered by the House.

Sir, I told you once and I am very sorry to repeat it again that in the Select Committee I did try to improve the Bill but I felt the atmosphere so incongenial.....

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** No reference to the working of the Select Committee.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, I have facts before me and I shall place them before the people of Patna.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** No promise about that need be made here.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, this motion is ill-conceived and is contrary to the rules. So my first point is that it should be repeated by the Chair.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I have already ruled that it is in order.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Your ruling was given before I spoke and pointed out the entire rules.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I am sorry I am not prepared to change my opinion now. You may convince the House with your weighty arguments.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** At least I thank you for calling my arguments "weighty". My friend would call them "flimsy" and that is the difference between the Local Self-Government Minister and the Speaker of the Assembly. I thank you for giving me this consideration.

श्री लतीफुर रहमान—जनाब आला, अभी चन्द दिनों की बात है कि इस हाउस में लिडर ऑफ दि हाउस ने एक सजेशन दिया था जिसको हर शख्स और हर पार्टी के लोगों ने कबूल भी किया था कि जितने सरकारी और गैर-सरकारी काम हैं, उन्हें २८ सितम्बर के अन्दर ही खत्म करना है। उसके बाद हाउस को मुलतवी कर देना है। मेरा ख्याल है कि उसके बाद स्पीकर साहब को पूरा अधिकार था कि इस बिल को जिस तरीके से रेगुलेट करना मुनासिब होता, करते। मगर अभी गवर्नमेंट की तरफ से जो मोशन लाया गया है, वह मेरे ख्याल में जरूरी नहीं है, क्योंकि हाउस ने कमिटेड दे दिया था कि २८ तारीख के अन्दर समचे काम को खत्म कर देना है। उसके बाद इस तरह के मोशन जैसा कि श्री रामेश्वर बाबू ने कहा है, एक नयी ओर युनिक चीज है। हाउस की आखिरी लाइफ में ट्रेजरी बेंच से, इस तरह का मोशन लाना मेरे ख्याल में मुनासिब नहीं है। इस वक्त प्रिसिडेंट के लिये इस तरह की मिसाल छोड़ना, मेरे ख्याल में मुनासिब नहीं है और मैं इस्तेइआ करता हूं कि गवर्नमेंट इसको वियड्रॉ कर ले। स्पीकर साहब को अधिकार है कि रात और दिन हम लोगों से काम लें ताकि ऑफिशियल और नन-ऑफिशियल विजिनेस—सब के सब—२८ तारीख के अन्दर खत्म हो जाय। हम समझते हैं कि इसके लिये हमलोग कमिटेड हैं। अभी जितने अमंडमेंट्स आये हैं, ज्यादातर असीन साहब के हैं। तो क्या यह मुमकिन नहीं था कि मिनिस्टर-इन-चार्ज उनके साथ बैठ कर अमंडमेंट्स



पर और करके, उतने अमेंडमेंट्स हाउस में लाते जितने को सरकार को मंजूर करना था और हमलोग खुशी के साथ उसको पास करके, उसको स्टैंच्युट-बुक में रख देते। इन अलॉज के साथ मैं इस मोशन को अपोज करता हूँ और सरकार से फिर भी इन्तेन्दीजा करता हूँ कि इस तरह का अनहेल्दी प्रिसिडेंट हाउस की आखिरी लाइफ में न कायम करें।

\*श्री मुहम्मद अब्दुल गनी—यह पहला मौका है मुझे देखने का कि इस तरह का रिजोल्यूशन लेजिस्लेशन के मुतल्लिक लाया गया है।

माननीय अध्यक्ष—पार्लियामेंट में रहते तो कितना मौका ऐसा देखने को मिलता।

श्री मुहम्मद अब्दुल गनी—जो हाउस को रूल है उसके मुताबिक कोई भी रेस्ट्रिक्शन या कैद मेम्बरान के राइट पर अपने अमेंडमेंट या कन्सिडरेशन मोशन पर बोलने का नहीं है।

माननीय अध्यक्ष—ब्रिटिश पार्लियामेंट में जब दिन-रात लोग बोलते रहे और ४२ घंटे तक बहस चलती रही तो क्लोजर मोशन पैदा हुआ।

श्री मुहम्मद अब्दुल गनी : क्लोजर मोशन लेजिस्लेशन के मुतल्लिक मेरे देखने में नहीं आया है। यह लेजिस्लेशन के अलावा मैटर् में आया है। अगर लेजिस्लेशन के मुतल्लिक में आया है तो यह मेरे इल्म में नहीं है। मैं सज्जेक्ट टु करेक्शन बोल रहा हूँ। इस रिजोल्यूशन से साफ जाहिर होता है कि अब से जितना वक्त है शमिश्चर तक इस बिल को पास करने में डिमिट कर दिया जाये। स्पीकर साहब की मिहूरबानी से शमिश्चर हमलोगों को मिला था। वह अब छीन लिया जा रहा है। तो अब आप एतवार भी ले लीजिये।

माननीय अध्यक्ष : धीरे-धीरे सब ले रहें हैं। असेम्बली भी अब चली जा रही है।

श्री मुहम्मद अब्दुल गनी : मगर डियुटी और रेसपांसिविलिटी जो हमारी है वह नहीं जाने पावे। यह इतना बड़ा बिल है : .....

माननीय अध्यक्ष : जिस पर आप कोई तरमीम नहीं दे सके।

श्री मुहम्मद अब्दुल गनी : अमीत साहब के दिल को गनीमत है कि थॉम्पिंग मेजॉरिटी होते हुए भी उन्होंने इतने अमेंडमेंट्स की नोटिस दी। मेरा ख्याल है कि यह ७ दिन में भी खत्म हो जाय तो गनीमत है। इन्हीं बातों के साथ लिडर ऑफ दि ऑपोजिशन ने जो कहा है उसको सपोर्ट करता हूँ।

माननीय पंडित विनोदानन्द झा : अध्यक्ष महोदय, मैं कह देना चाहता हूँ कि अपोजिशन के लीडर का जो ऐटिचुड है वही ऐटिचुड मेरा भी ऐसे मोशन के साथ रहा करता है और आज तक हाउस में ऐसा मोशन नहीं लाया गया चूंकि इसके लिये कोई अकेज्जन नहीं था। हमलोग स्पिरिट ऑफ टॉलरेन्स एंड ऐकोमोडेशन, जो निहायत जरूरी है, रखना चाहते हैं। लेकिन आज यह मोशन लाने में मुझे खुशी नहीं है। इस सम्बन्ध में जो गलत-फहमी हाउस के फ्लोर में लायी गयी है उसे मैं साफ कर देना चाहता हूँ। पहली गलत-

\*माननीय सदस्य ने भाषण संशोधित नहीं किया।

फहमी मेरे दोस्त रामेश्वर बाबू ने क्लोजर मोशन के मुतल्लिक कहा है कि स्पीकर को हक रहता है कि डिस्कशन का टाइम लिमिट खत्म हो जाय तो क्लोजर मोशन एलौज कर सकता है। एलोकेशन ऑफ टाइम लिमिट हमारे देश के लिये एक नई चीज होने पर भी जहां पर इस तरह के प्रजातंत्र की उत्पत्ति होती है वहां गलत नहीं होगा।

The principal methods to curtail the debate on particular item of business in the House of Commons are as follows :—

(1) For closure of debate there are two principles :—

(a) Ordinary Closure.

(b) Closure of the Bill.

(2) Selection of amendment.

(3) The allocation of limit of time for amendment.

यह चीज डिस्कशन को रोकती नहीं है। सिर्फ डिस्कशन के लिए टाइम एलोकेट करती है। टाइम लिमिट करना इसके लिए जरूरी है। इसी तरह से किसी रूल में बिल पर डिस्कशन करने के लिए टाइम लिमिट नहीं है। रूल में हमलोग जो प्रोवाइड करते हैं वह इस तरह है :—

“The Speaker shall have power subject to provision of the Constitution to regulate and conduct the time and business of the Assembly in all matters not provided for in the Constitution and Rules.”

हाउस ऑफ कॉमन्स में भी बिल पर डिस्कशन के लिये टाइम लिमिट नहीं रखा गया है और टाइम लिमिट रखना वाजिब भी नहीं है। जब ऐसा अकेजन आ जाता है तो समूह को देखते हुए टाइम एलोकेट करना पड़ता है। स्पीकर को यह पावर देते हैं तो काम आसान हो जाता है। अगर जिम्मेवारी स्पीकर को नहीं देते हैं तो यह डायरेक्टली हाउस को खुद लेना पड़ेगा।

पार्लियामेंट में डिफरेंट स्टेजेज ऑफ दि बिल में जो कंट्रोल बिल है उसके लिये गवर्नमेंट ऑफ इंडिया ने ३० दिन रखा है। वहां स्टैंडिंग विजीनेस कमिटी है जो देखती है कि किस स्टेज में कितना वक्त लगेगा और वह उसी तरह से एक रोज, दो रोज या तीन रोज देती है। यह मोशन के नेचर पर डिपेंड करता है। उसमें दो बातें देखनी पड़ती हैं। पहला हाउस के प्रजेसन में कितना टाइम है और दूसरा किस तरह से डिबेट चल रहा है। इन सब बातों को कहते हुए मैं निवेदन करना चाहता हूँ कि जो लिबर ऑफ दि आपोजिशन का सजेशन है उसका एक्सपेरीमेंट हम कर चुके हैं। अमीन साहब की कोई गलती नहीं है। उनको मैं यह नहीं कहता कि उनका भ्रम है कि उन्होंने इतना अमंडमेंट दिया है। उनको पार्लियामेंट वर्क में पूरी लगन है। हमारे दोस्त इस को सोचें। इसके लिए उन्हें कोई डर नहीं होना चाहिए। हुजूर का आशीर्वाद भी अल्पसंख्यक दल के साथ रहता है। इस हाउस के अन्दर आप स्पीकर हैं। किसी ग्रुप के नहीं, बल्कि सभी के

लिए हैं। आपकी स्थिति व्यक्तिगत है। इसके लिए हमको अफसोस नहीं है बल्कि हमें गर्व है। हम चाहते तो थे कि बड़ी खुशी के साथ अमीन अहमद साहब के साथ बैठकर इसपर विचार करते लेकिन ऐसा अवसर नहीं मिला। फिर भी इस तरह काफी बहस-मुवाहिदा हुई। उसके बाद कितने महीनों तक सिलेक्ट कमिटी की मिटिंग हुई और उसने वहां काट-छांट कर इसको यह रूप दिया। यहां भी इस पर इतने दिनों से विचार चल रहा है, ऐसी हालत में अगर हम इसे छोड़ दें तो इतना सारा खर्च बेकार चला जायगा। आप और हम सब का परिश्रम व्यर्थ चला जायगा। हाउस ने जब इतना समय लगा कर इस बिल को इतनी दूर तक पहुंचाया है तो हाउस का यह भी कर्तव्य है कि वह इस बिल को अन्तिम रूप दे। २८ तारीख तक कौंसिल की भी बैठक खत्म हो जाने वाली है। इसलिये अगर हम लोग इसको २२ तारीख तक एसेम्बली से पास नहीं कर लें और इसमें जो अमेंडमेंट्स हुए हैं उनके साथ इसको छपवाकर कौंसिल से पास नहीं करालें तो यह सारा परिश्रम और रुपया व्यर्थ चला जायगा। इन्हीं सब बातों को देखते हुए यह मोशन आपके सामने लाना पड़ा है। हमारे भाई कहते हैं कि हमारी कंशिंग मेजॉरिटी है। मैं पूछना चाहता हूं कि क्या मेजॉरिटी ने कभी आपके डिबेट को कंश करने की कोशिश की है। आप बहस करें। आप फैंक्ट्स को हाउस में रखें, उस पर बहस करें, हमें कोई एतराज नहीं है। हमने तो जल्दी खत्म करने के लिए समय को सीमित करने के लिए यह मोशन लाया है। मेजॉरिटी इसलिए है कि जो हम-लोगों ने इतनी मिहनत करके इस बिल को हाउस में पेश किया है वह पास हो और कार्यान्वित हो। यह अत्यन्त दुर्भाग्यपूर्ण बात होगी कि हमारे दो-चार मित्रों की वजह से यह बिल रुह जाय और वक्त को काबू में न लाया जाय। अगर समय की सीमा न रखी जाय तो जो अमृत का काम कर सकता है वह बिष का काम करेगा। यही बाधा हो जाएगी इसीलिए इस मोशन को लाना पड़ा है। हम जानते हैं कि हाउस इस बिल को पास करने के लिये सिनसियर है। इसलिए यह जरूरी था कि इस तरह का प्रस्ताव लाया जाय। मैं आपकी जानकारी के लिये यह बता देना चाहता हूं कि यह नियम नया नहीं है। उत्तर प्रदेश विधान-सभा में जमींदारी खत्म करने के बिल पर बहस की सीमा को कम करने के विचार से वहां के मुख्य मंत्री ने इसी तरह का एक प्रस्ताव कुछ ही दिन पहले लाया था। ब्रिटिश पार्लियामेंट में अनेकों बार वहां के प्रधान मंत्री ने इस तरह का मोशन लाया है। यहां भी फाइनैन्स बिल पर बहस कम करने के लिए गिजलो-टिन से समय की सीमा निश्चित की जाती है तो उसका यह मतलब नहीं है कि आपको बहस करने का हक नहीं है। यह हक हाउस खुद देता है और जो जरूरी समझा जाता है वह सिलेक्ट कमिटी के एंडवाइस के रूप में आ गया है। अब हाउस यह आवश्यक समझता है कि इसको अपर चैम्बर में जाने का मौका मिले। इसमें क्या एतराज हो सकता है। यह हाउस की राय की बात है। अगर हाउस यह चाहेगा कि इस पर बहस न की जाय तो हम इसको छोड़ देंगे। जब आपने मिहनत की है, बहस-मुवाहिदा में परेशान हुए हैं, हजारों रुपये खर्च किए हैं तो अगर इससे फायदा न उठाया जाय तो यह दुर्भाग्य की बात होगी। यह गलत होगा कि सारी मिहनत जाया हो। हमें बहुत दर्द के साथ कहना पड़ता है कि टाइम लिमिट करने के बाद इस पर बहस करने का हक छीना

जा रहा है। आप बहस करें, जब चाहें, संशोधन पेश करें, हमें कोई एतराज नहीं है। मैं निवेदन करता हूँ कि जो कुछ इस एसेम्बली ने पसंद किया और कनसिडरेशन मोशन पास किया और सिलेक्ट कमिटी का मोशन पास किया और उसके बाद सिलेक्ट कमिटी की सिफारिश के साथ यह बिल हाउस में आया और उस पर बहस मुवाहिदा चल रही है इन सब बातों को देखते हुए यह जरूरी है कि दो-तीन दिन इस पर समय दिया जाय। मुझे विश्वास है कि हाउस इसको पसंद करेगा।

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, the motion which has been circulated shows that the word "passage" is there and now my friend wants to change it to "passing". If he wants to make a change, he should move an amendment to this motion.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** The copy of the motion which is with me, in it there is the word "passing".

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** I have considered it as a clerical error.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** The question is :

That in line 2 of the Resolution after the words "do resolve that", the words "after question hours" be inserted.

The motion was adopted.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** The question is :

"That this Assembly do resolve that after question hours, time from now up to Saturday, the 22nd September, 1951, be allotted for the purposes of the consideration and passing of the Patna Corporation Bill, 1950, as reported by the Select Committee, by this Assembly"

(The bell began ringing.)

माननीय अध्यक्ष—प्रश्न यह है कि :

That this Assembly do resolve that after question hours, time from now up to Saturday, the 22nd September, 1951, be allotted for the purposes of the consideration and passing of the Patna Corporation Bill, 1950, as reported by the Select Committee, by this Assembly."

मुझे बहुत अफसोस है कि जिस समय को हम बचाना चाहते हैं वह इस तरह बर्बाद हो रहा है। इसलिये माननीय सदस्यगण खड़े होकर मत दें।

(माननीय सदस्यों ने खड़े होकर मत दिया।)

पक्ष में . . . ५१

विपक्ष में . . . ११

प्रस्ताव स्वीकृत हुआ।

**The Hon'ble Shri RAMCHARITRA SINGH.** Sir, I beg to move :

That rule 15(1) of the Bihar Legislative Assembly Rules be suspended and Government business be given precedence over private members' business on Friday, the 21st September, 1951.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** The question is :

That rule 15(1) of the Bihar Legislative Assembly Rules be suspended and Government business be given precedence over private members' business on Friday, the 21st September, 1951.

The motion was adopted.

**विधान-कार्य : सरकारी विधेयक :**

**Legislative Business : Official Bill.**

दि पटना कारपोरेशन बिल, १९५० (१९५० की वि० सं० ४१)

The Patna Corporation Bill, 1950 (Bill no. 41 of 1950).

माननीय अध्यक्ष—प्रश्न यह है कि :

प्रवर समिति द्वारा यथाप्रतिवेदित खंड ७ इस विधेयक का अंग बने।

प्रस्ताव स्वीकृत हुआ।

प्रवर समिति द्वारा यथाप्रतिवेदित खंड ७ इस विधेयक का अंग बना।

माननीय अध्यक्ष—खंड ८ में अमीन साहब का जो संशोधन है उसमें कुछ गलती मालूम होती है। अमीन साहब इसको देखें और अगर कुछ गलती हो तो उसे वह दुरुस्त कर सकते हैं। उन्होंने आइटम्स डि और इ को हटाने के लिये संशोधन दिये हैं और ४८ कौंसिलर्स बनाने के लिये भी संशोधन है। लेकिन टोटल ४८ नहीं आता है। अगर यह गलत है तो अमीन साहब इसको दुरुस्त करें।

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, it will not be necessary now to go into details, because I find from the attitude of my friend that he is not in a mood today to listen to us.

He has made personal attacks against me and is not prepared to hear me. I, therefore, consider it unnecessary to place my submission before the House as it will not serve any useful purpose.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** शान्ति, शान्ति।

I cannot allow the hon'ble member to make a statement for any course of action he chooses to take. Under the rules no statement is allowed. The hon'ble member may only say that he is not prepared to move his amendment.

**Shri SAIYID AMIN AHMAD :** Sir, that is what I was going to say. I am not going to move any of my amendments. Let the Bill be passed as it stands.

**The Hon'ble the SPEAKER :** This is not relevant here.

**The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA :** I want to say a few words to soothe the feeling of my friend. I have marked some of the clauses which may be taken up for discussion. The Government will have no objection to accept any amendment which will