

[20th March 1922]

BRIDGE OVER THE SON AT KOILWAR.

192. Babu DVARIKA PRASHAD SINGH : (a) With reference to question 87 and the answer given to it on Tuesday the 31st January 1922, will the Government be pleased to state if the Public Works Department has received the reply of the Railway Board concerning the replacement of the old girders on the Son bridge at Koilwar ?

(b) Will the Government be pleased to place on the table the reply, if received ?

(c) If answer to (a) be in the negative, do Government propose to communicate with the Railway Board ?

(d) With reference to the same question No. 87 clause (c) and the answer given to it on the 31st January 1922, will the Government be pleased to state how tests made by the Engineering Department ensure that drivers run their trains on the bridge at or below the prescribed maximum speed ?

(e) Will the Government be pleased to state if any report has ever been submitted by the station master, Koilwar, concerning the running of the trains at the bridge at or below the prescribed maximum speed ?

(f) If answer to (e) be in the affirmative will the Government be pleased to place on the table the station master's report ?

(g) If the answer to (e) be in the negative do Government propose to inquire if drivers strictly observe the speed restrictions on the bridge ?

Mr. W. S. BREMNER : (a), (b), (c). No reply has yet been received from the Railway Board and the member will be informed when it is received.

(d), (e), (f), (g). A further reference is being made to the Agent, East Indian Railway and further information will be communicated to the member.

---

ARTICLE IN THE PRESS REGARDING MR. LEE'S ALLEGED DOINGS.

193. Maulavi HAFIZ NURUL HAQQ : (a) Has the attention of the Government been drawn to a letter published in the local newspaper of the 19th February 1922 under the heading "Mr. Lee's alleged doings" at Monghyr ?