विहार विधान सभा वादवृत्तं।

मंगलवार, तिथि १५ मई, १६५१।

भारत के संविधान के उपबन्ध के अनुसार एकत्र दिशान तभा का कार्य-विवरण ।

सभा का ग्रधिवेशन पटने के सभा-सदन में मंगलवार, तिथि १५ मई, १६५१ की पूर्वीह्न ११ बजे माननीय प्रध्यक्ष श्ली विन्ध्येश्वरी प्रसाद वर्म्मा के सभापतित्व में हुगा।

तारांकित प्रश्नोत्तर ।

STARRED QUESTION AND ANSWERS.

इन्सपे क्टर ग्रॉफ इन्डस्ट्रीज के पद पर प्रोमोशन ।

*१२१३ । श्रें। रामगुलाम चौघरी विया माननीय मंत्री, विकास विभाग, यह विताने की कृपा करेंगे कि—

- (क) कब से श्री सौदागर राम, श्री उमाकान्त प्रसाद श्रीर श्री रामोदवर सिंह इंग्डस्ट्रीज डिपार्टमेंट में काम करते हैं;
- (स) क्या यह बात सही है कि श्री उमाकान्त प्रसाद भीर श्री रामेश्वर सिंह श्री सौदागर राम से जूनियर रहते हुए भी उनको इन्सपेक्टर ग्रॉफ इन्डस्ट्रीज के पद पर प्रोमोशन हो गया है;
- (ग) क्या यह बात सही है कि श्री सीदागर राम को ११ वर्ष इन्डस्ट्रीज डिपार्टमेंट में काम करते हो गया अपर तीशी उनका प्रोमोशन नहीं हुआ है ;
- (घ) नया यह बात सही है कि श्री सीदागर राम को इन्टरक्यू का पत्र तक नहीं आया और जब माननीय मंत्री महोदय ने लिखा तब उनका इन्टरक्यू हुमा और तौमी अनका प्रोमोशन सभी तक नहीं हुमा हालांकि इन्टरक्यू की तारीख़ १ली मार्च थीं ?

श्री वीरचन्द्र पटेल—(क) श्री सीदागर राम, श्री उमाकान्त प्रस द और श्री रामेश्वर सिंह इन्डस्ट्रीज डिपार्टमेंट में कमज्ञ: फरवरी १२४०, सितम्बर १६४५ और १६२६ से काम कर रहे हैं।

(ख) ग्रीर (ग) इन्सर्वेक्टर ग्राफ इन्डस्ट्रीज की बहाती के लिये इन्डस्ट्रीज डिपार्टमेंट से विज्ञापन निकला था ग्रीर श्री रामें इवर सिंह ग्रीर श्री उमोकान्त प्रसाद की बहाली हुई, क्योंकि वे बहाली के योग्य पाये गए। श्री समें इवर सिंह श्री सौदागर राम से सीनियर थे ग्रीर उमाकान्त प्रसाद जूनियर थे, जहां तक लेग्य ग्रोफ सर्थिस का सम्बन्ध है। श्री सौदागर राम ६० ३०—१—३५—ई—४० के स्केल में इन्डस्ट्रियल के मेस्ट्री (c) if the answers to clauses (a) and (b) be in the affirmative, whether Government propose to take steps to provide for more quarters for them?

The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA: (a) In the beginning (1926 onwards) when Assistant Surgeons used to be House-Surgeons 8 house-surgeons were accommodated in the Bachelors' quarters but since 1931 the Bachelors' quarters which has 8 big rooms and 8 small rooms was accommodating 16 housemen, one room to each of them.

- (b) Due to increase in the number of housemen and also for want of accommodation for them in the Hospital compound 3 housemen had to be accommodated in each of the big rooms and 2 in small rooms. At present there are 40 housemen in the Bachelors' quarters.
- (c):To relieve congestion temporary accommodation has been arranged for them in two of the newly-acquired houses just near the present Bachelors quarters. There is already a proposal for the construction of more quarters.

Shri RAMCHARAN SINHA: I want to know the dimension of the rooms in which they are accommodated.

The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA: It is not with me here. So far the rooms are concerned they are fairly of big size and can accommodate three members.

अल्प-सूचना प्रश्नोत्तर

SHORT-NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

DISMISSAL OF MR. CHANDRASEN PRASAD TULSIYANI.

- 97. Shri SHANKER NATH: Will the Hon'ble the Labour Minister be pleased to state—
- (a) whether it is a fact that Mr. Chandrasen Prasad Tulsiyani, compounder, Marhowrah Sugar Factory, was dismissed in January, 1951, during pendency of adjudication proceedings without permission in writing of the Industrial Appellate Tribunal;
- (b) the reason why the management has done this in contravention of section 22 of the Industrial Disputes Appellate Tribunal Act;
- (c) whether it is a fact that the General Secretary of the Marhowrah Factories Labour Union brought the matter to the notice of Government but no action has been taken against the management;
- (d) whether it is a fact that the said General Secretary of the Union has intimated to Government of his intention to resort to hunger strike as protest on this issue from the 14th of May, 1951;

(e) what are the reasons for not taking action against the management so as to avert serious industrial unrest?

The Hon'ble Dr. ANUGRAH NARAYAN SINHA: (a) Mr. Tulsiyani was not dismissed, but as he failed to report for duty on the expiry of his leave, and as he over-stayed the leave without permission of the management, the management presumed that he had left their service without notice, and, therefore, they engaged another compounder in his place. No formal order of dismissal or discharge was passed, though the compounder was not permitted to join his duties. The proceedings before the Appellate Tribunal were pending when Shri Tulsiyani offered for resuming the duties, and he was refused permission.

- (b) The question of prosecuting the management for this action which may be in contravention of section 22 of the Industrial Disputes Appellate Tribunal Act, 1950, is under the active consideration of Government.
- (c) The General Secretary of the Marhowrah Factory's Lahour Union brought this matter to the notice of Government. The Assistant Labour Commissioner, the District Magistrate and the Deputy Labour Commissioner looked into the matter carefully at Marhowrah in the presence of the parties, and gave necessary directions. The matter was also brought to the notice of the Hon'ble Minister, for Labour and the Labour Commissioner is now looking into the matter personally.
- (d) The General Secretary of the Union sent to Government a copy of his letter to the General Manager of the Kanpur Sugar Works, Ltd., Marhowsch in which he had intimated the Manager of his intention to resort to hunger strike if Shri Tulsiyani was not re-instated by the 12th May, 1951.
- (c) The advice of the District Magistrate and the Conciliation Officers to the Labour Union was that Shri Tulsiyani should file an application to the Manager of the factory expressing his regret for over-staying the leave without permission, and asking permission to resume duties. Shri Tulsiyani, however, did not file the application as advised by the officers, and he pleaded ignorance of the advice. He actually threatened the Manager that he would go to court if his case was not reconsidered. Thereupon the Manager withdrew the offer made to him. Later, however, Shri Tulsiyani filed an application, as directed, on the 11th April 1951; but the Manager did not agree to entertain this belated application. The Labour Commissioner has, however, taken up the matter personally with the management, and the management have been advised to re-instate Shri Tulsiyani forthwith.