विहार विधान समा वादवत्त।

बुंधवार, तिथि १७ जनवरी, १९५१।

भारत के संविधान के उपबन्ध के अनुसार एकत्र विधान सभा का कार्य विवरण। सभा का अधिवेशन पटने के सभा-सदन में मंगलवार, तिथि १७ जनवरी, १९५१ की पूर्वीह्न ११ वजे माननीय अध्यक्ष, श्री विन्ध्येश्वरी प्रसाद वर्मा, के सभापतित्व में हुआ।

*तारांकित प्रश्नोत्तर।

(Starred questions and Answers.)

DESIRABILITY OF ISSUING ORDERS FOR THE DRAWAL OF FOURTH INCRE-MENT IN THE PRESCRIBED SCALE OF PAY.

- *26. Shrl MAHABIR PRASAD: Will the Hon'ble the Finance Minister be pleased to state—
- (a) whether it is a fact that many Government servants have not been able to draw their fourth increment in their pay due to their Pay Fixation statement not having been checked by the Accountant-General Bihar, so far;
- (b) if the answer to clause (a) be in the affirmative, whether Government propose to consider the desirability of issuing orders for the drawal of the fourth increment without the Pay Fixation Statement being checked, as in the case of previous increments.
- (c) if the answer to clause (b) be in the negative, the reasons for not doing so?

माननीय डा० अनुग्रह नारायण सिंह: (क) इस तरह का कोई case Govern- ... ment के ध्यान में नहीं लाया गया है।

- (ख) चौथे increment की बात सरकार के विचाराधीन है।
- (ग) यह सबाउ नहीं उठता है।

बिहार राज्य में पिछले चार वर्षों में बनाये गये गजेटेट पदों की संख्या तथा उसके कारण खर्च में वृद्धि।

†*२७। श्री नन्दिकशोर नारायण लाल: क्या माननीय मंत्री, अर्थ विभाग, यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि--

्र(क) विहार राज्य में पिछले चार वर्षों में नए कितने पद गजेटेंड औफिसरों के बनाए गए हैं और उन पर कितना कुल खर्च सालाना बढ़ गया है;

(ख) नन-गजेटेड रैंक के कर्मचारियों की वृद्धि से कितना खर्च बढ़ गया है?

मान शिय डा॰ अन ग्रह नारायण सिंह: (क) और (ख) इस संबंध में जो सूचना मांगी गई है वह तुरत उपलब्ध नहीं है और इसके संग्रह करने में जो समय अथवा श्रम लगेगा वह प्राप्त फल की तुलना में विशेष लाभदायक नहीं होगा।

[†]माननीय सदस्य की अनुपिटयित में श्री शंकर नाथ के निवेदन करने पर उत्तर दिया गया।

ताराकित प्रकातर ।

(d) whether it is a fact that Kalir Ram's brother was insulted and turned out from the Hospital premises by Dr. Mahajan being annoyed with him when he said to him that Kali Ram had been examined and diagnosed by Dr. S. K. Basu as a case of pleuriasy with effusion prior to his taking admission in the Hospital;

(e) whether it is a fact that Dr. Mahajan in an angry mood asked

Kali Ram's brother to deposit Rs. 15 as X'ray fee?

The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA: (a), (b) and (c) The answer is the affirmative.

(d) and (e) Shri Kali Ram's brother Shri Jogeshwar Ram, a Sanitary Inspector of Ranchi District Board saw Dr Mahajan on 4th September 1950 in his office and requested him to have an X'ray of chest of his But as Dr Mahajan had examined Shri KaliRam on 3rd September 1950 and diagnosed his case to be Asthma, he did not think it necessary to X'ray his chest. On Shri Jogeshwar Ram's insistence, as Dr. S. K. Basu, a private practitioner had diagnosed the case as pleuriasy. Dr. Mahajan asked him to go out of his room and also said that if he wanted an X'ray done against his advice he would have to deposit Rs. 15 as X'ray fee.

*45. Shri PURNA CHANDRA MITRA: Will the Hon'ble Minister, Local Self-Government Department, be pleased to state—

(a) whether Kali Ram mentioned in starred questioned no. 44 was discharged from the Hospital on 8th September 1950 as was unable to pay the amount demanded by Dr. Mahajan;

(b) whether it is a fact that after Kali Ram had been discharged from the Hospital, he went to Dr. S. K. Basu who took out 20 c.o. of fluid from his chest on the 9th September last and on his request Dr. Paul took out

650 c.c. of fluid from his chest on the 10th September 1950:

(c) if the answer to clauses (a) and (b) be in affirmative, the action Government propose to take against Dr. Mahajan who under false pretext discharged a poor patient from the Hospital who was really suffering from a serious disease which has taken a bad turn now and to stamp out such corrupt practice amongst Hospital doctors employed in hospitals of the State Government?

The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA: (a) Shri Kali Ram was discharged from Hospital on the 8th September 1950 on the advice of Dr. Mahajan and he left the Hospital of his own wish with a prescription from Dr. Mahajan. It is not a fact that he was discharged for not paying

(b) Government can only say that this is how the report goes.

(c) Dr. Mahajan had been concentrating since graduation only in surgery. As such he may have made a genuine mistake in diagnosing a medical case. The Civil Surgeon met Shri Kali Ram and he is now completely restored to health. The matter has been personally enquired into by the Inspector-General of Civil Hospitals and Dr. Mahajan has since been transfered from Ranchi and other appropriate action has been taken

Shri PURSHOTTAM CHOWHAN: What appropriate action has been taken against the said doctor?

The Hon'ble Pandit BINODANAND JHA: meant for disciplinary action against Government servants. Such action which is