

## बिहार विधान-सभा वादवृत्त

भारत के संविधान के उपबन्ध के अनुसार एकत्र विधान सभा का कार्य विवरण ।  
सभा का अधिवेशन पटने के सभा सदन में बृहस्पतिवार, तिथि ६ अप्रैल, १९५३ को पूर्वाह्न ११ बजे माननीय अध्यक्ष श्री विन्ध्येश्वरी प्रसाद वर्मा के सभापतित्व में हुआ ।

## अल्प-सूचना प्रश्नोत्तर ।

## SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

## मोटर चलाने की व्यवस्था ।

१०६। श्रीमती पार्वती देवी—क्या मंत्री, स्वायत्त-शासन विभाग, यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि—

(क) क्या यह बात सही है कि कहलगांव से काढ़ागोला, पीरपैती से काढ़ागोला, भागलपुर से काढ़ागोला तक जहाज तथा मोटर चलाने के लिये प्रबंध सरकार कर रही है;

(ख) क्या यह बात सही है कि हजारों व्यक्ति रोज उपर्युक्त स्थानों से आते-जाते हैं और नावों में उन्हें अपार कष्ट उठाना पड़ता है?

श्री भोला पासवान—(क) कहलगांव और काढ़ागोला के बीच स्टीमर चालू करने की स्कीम सरकार के विचाराधीन है ।

(ख) यह सत्य है कि नाव से जाने में लोगों को कष्ट उठाना पड़ता है ।

श्री कर्पूरी ठाकुर—कबतक विचाराधीन रहेगा ?

श्री भोला पासवान—जबतक इसका फैसला न हो जाय ।

## LABOURERS THROWN OUT OF EMPLOYMENT.

†153. Shri AMIYO KUMAR GHOSH : Will the Minister, in charge of the Labour Department, be pleased to state—

(a) whether it is a fact that there are two Unions, viz., Musabani Copper Mines Union and Mahun Bhandar Factory Union in the district of Singhbhum, and whether it is a fact that by compromise between the Musabani Copper Mines Union and the mine owners a bonus of 6½ weeks' pay was advanced to the labourers of the said mines pending adjudication of the matter;

(b) whether it is a fact that 1,400 labourers out of the total number of 1,800 labourers of the Mahu Bhandar Factory submitted proposals to the management of the factory to give them an advance

†In the absence of the member the reply was given at the request of Shri Shrish Chandra Banerjee.

of 6½ weeks' pay as bonus pending adjudication, as has been done in the case of mine-labourers under the guidance of mine union and that the management agreed to pay them;

(c) whether it is a fact that the Assistant Labour Commissioner prohibited the management of the factory from paying any bonus to the labourers and that the management according to his orders stopped payment of 6½ weeks' pay in advance as bonus;

(d) whether it is a fact that a strike was staged by the President of the Factory Union on the show-demand of 8 weeks' pay as an advance bonus;

(e) whether it is a fact that due to the show-strike in the factory about 2,500 mine labourers have been thrown out of employment; if so, the reason why the Labour Department is silent over the happenings and not taking any steps to ease the situation?

**Shri BIRCHAND PATEL :** (a) The answer is in the affirmative.

(b) The management made such a claim. The Mosabani Mines Workers' Union also made a similar claim. But the total strike by workers employed in the factory on the issue of the profit sharing bonus leaves no room for doubt that the claim that 1,400 labourers, out of a total of 1,800 were agreeable to accept 6½ weeks' wages as bonus, has no basis.

(c) It is a fact that the Assistant Labour Commissioner advised the management that it would not be right to pay 6½ weeks' wages in advance as bonus to any worker when the Union that was recognised by them did not favour such a payment.

(d) The question is vague. But it is not correct to say that the strike was called on the basis of payment of 8 weeks' wages as advance towards bonus. The demand was mainly for the revision of the profit sharing bonus scheme.

(e) Though official confirmation is lacking, it has been alleged by the Mosabani Mines Workers' Union that some workers have been laid off at the Mines. The Labour Department is fully alive to the situation. The Labour Commissioner tried to bring about a settlement but the conciliation could not succeed. A recommendation has been made to the Government of India for taking action under the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951, with a view ultimately to taking over the factory under Government control, if the parties do not come to a settlement meanwhile.

**Shri SRISH CHANDRA BANERJEE :** I would like to know why did the Assistant Labour Commissioner meddle in the matter when the agreement was reached between the factory owner and the Labour Union?

**Shri BIRCHAND PATEL :** No agreement was reached between the two, otherwise no scope for the Assistant Labour Commissioner to intervene.

**Shri SRISH CHANDRA BANERJEE :** Are Government aware that the factory owners were ready to pay 6½ weeks' wages as bonus ?

**Shri BIRCHAND PATEL :** The reply is in the affirmative.

**Shri SRISH CHANDRA BANERJEE :** What steps did Government take to avoid the strike that was resorted to ?

**Shri BIRCHAND PATEL :** The Union was asking for 12 weeks' bonus which the factory management was not willing to accept and the strike is continuing peacefully. In the interest of collective bargaining Government did not think it desirable to intervene.

**Shri SRISH CHANDRA BANERJEE :** Arising out of (b) whether 1,400 workers out of 1,800 were ready to enter into compromise with the factory owners, why was the strike resorted to ?

**Shri BIRCHAND PATEL :** Perhaps the hon'ble member has not heard the answer.

**Shri SRISH CHANDRA BANERJEE :** Will you kindly again clarify ?

**Shri BIRCHAND PATEL :** The total strike by workers employed in the factory on the issue of the profit sharing bonus leaves no room for doubt that the claim that 1,400 labourers, out of a total of 1,800 were agreeable to accept of 6½ weeks' wages as bonus has no basis.

**Shri NANDKISHORE NARAIN :** In reply to clause (c), it has just been stated that because the particular Labour Union did not favour so the payment was not made. I want to know whether it is the policy of Government to stop payment of wages to workers if there is any dispute between the rival unions.

**Shri BIRCHAND PATEL :** The policy of Government is that the workers should get the maximum benefit as far as possible.

**Shri NANDKISHORE NARAIN :** Why has it been said that the payment was not allowed by the Assistant Labour Commissioner ?

**Shri BIRCHAND PATEL :** It has not been said.

खादी समिति से सरकार को लाभ-हानि ।

२२६। श्री रामसुन्दर तिवारी—क्या उद्योग मंत्री, यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि—

(क) बिहार खादी समिति को १९५१-५२ और १९५३ में कुल कितने रुपये सरकार द्वारा दिये गये;

(ख) १९५१-५२ और १९५३ में कितने रुपये को खादी विक्री हुई;

(ग) क्या बिहार खादी समिति के प्रबंधकर्त्ताओं को जो मासिक वेतन तथा भत्ते दिये जाते हैं, उसमें सरकार का क्या हिस्सा रहता है; यदि उत्तर हाँ है, तो उन प्रबंधकर्त्ताओं के नाम, वेतन की रकम, भत्ते का दर क्या है;